

## Minutes: Public Forum – Hikurangi Swamp Scheme

*Minutes of meeting held at Jordan Valley Farm on Thursday, 23 February 2012 at 10.30 am.*

<b>Facilitator</b>	Simon Weston
<b>Purpose</b>	Open forum between the Whangarei District Council and Hikurangi ratepayers to discuss the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme
<b>Present</b>	Simon Weston (facilitator), Andrew Carvell, Conal Summers, Glenys Rule (WDC New Operations Engineer), Shirley Turner (scribe), Cr Phil Halse, Cr Brian McLachlan (10.40am), Cr Greg Martin, National MP for Northland Mike Sabin, Bruce Howse(NRC) and members of the public as follows: Diane Martin, Ray Hindrup, Bruce Cutforth, Barry & Sheryl Thorn, Lyn O'Neil, Simon Donnelly, Glen Martin, Glen Redwood, Mark Gurr, Warren & Pat Slater, Owen Lewis, Clim Lammer, Mike Collins, Dean Evans, Martyn Smith, Gavin Donelley, Merv & Maureen Rusk, Te Raa Nehua, Mark Ringrose, Ken Finlayson, Cara & Gus Lindsay, Russell Mortimer, Brian Hoult, Bruce Howse, Pedro McHardy, Evan Smeath, Alan Moscrip, Royce Kokich, Judy Imeson, Todd Imeson ( <i>plus others unsigned on the register</i> )
<b>Apologies</b>	Nil

Simon Weston opened the meeting welcoming members, with introduction of staff and representatives from the Whangarei District Council.

Item	Description	Action
<b>Matters Arising</b>	Simon Weston advised meeting of Agenda items for discussion as per below:	
<b>Agenda</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WDC Long Term Plan Proposals</li> <li>• Ratepayer Groups</li> <li>• Scheme Model/History</li> <li>• Consent Update</li> <li>• Te Mata &amp; Mountain – Pump Replacement Update</li> <li>• Operations &amp; Maintenance</li> <li>• Long Term Strategy</li> <li>• Any Other Business</li> </ul>	
<b>Long Term Plan</b> <b>Simon Weston</b>	<p>Long Term Plan (LTP) three year process Council develops which covers a 10 year period – about to be released for consultation – within the LTP that relates to the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme included is pump renewal and replacement programme over the ten year period, capital works associated with meeting the resource consent conditions (stop banks, spillway and fencing works), also includes professional services related to the management plans required to provide under the resource consent and intending the scheme pays off debt it currently has and will have as a result of the capital programme over a 15 year period.</p> <p><i>Proposed</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 80% rate increase for the first year followed by 6.7% per year up to 2027</li> </ul> <p><i>By doing this</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Model debt on the scheme will be clear by 2027</li> </ul> <p>Encouraged to put submissions into the LTP and invited to arrange another meeting prior to the LTP consultation period closing to discuss. Intention is to bring enough cash into the scheme to do the capital works programme, operations and maintenance and to recover the debt.</p>	

<b>Liaison Committee</b>	<p>Recommendations to date include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pump purchase for the Te Mata and Mountain pocket. Thank you to those involved in the discussions.</li> <li>• Drain cleaning (discussion later on)</li> <li>• WDC has invited a committee representative to be at the monthly WDC/TPI Operations meeting. Invitation to the Liaison Committee to send to the meeting a representative that wants to be involved in the collaboration with WDC to ensure positive working relationships and open dialogue. Evan Smeath asked for it to be noted that the Liaison Group has been working very well.</li> <li>• 3 Groups have formed (<i>Liaison Committee, Hikurangi Swamp Scheme United Farmers</i> (possible legal challenge against Council) and a new group <i>Concerned Hikurangi Swamp Ratepayers</i> (formed on back of concerns on how the liaison committee is operating)</li> <li>• WDC wants to see a united voice coming from the scheme looking after everyone's benefit.</li> </ul>	
<b>Simon Weston opened the floor for comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evan Smeath – couple of issues that need to be looked at: Liaison Committee is recognised by the council is working extremely well, Hikurangi Swamp Scheme United Farmers are historical issues trying to get sorted out and personal view that Concerned Hikurangi Swamp Ratepayers is a group not getting their way.</li> <li>• Bruce Cutforth – there will be different views, concerned there is antagonism, legal action which is breaking down the relationship. Better the cohesiveness the better the swamp scheme will operate. Does not want any person involved in a legal process representing his views.</li> <li>• Neville Thorn – asked Simon Weston to explain the operation of the Liaison Committee – how it was setup and its function.</li> <li>• Ray Hindrup – as one of the Concerned Hikurangi Swamp Ratepayers – major concern that there is no clear member definition between the Liaison Committee (who have the majority vote) or the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme United Farmers and therefore the Liaison Committee is definitely tied to the group talking of taking legal action against WDC or NRC and that is where most of our concerns stem from.</li> <li>• Neville Thorn – advised that is why Simon Weston needed to explain the setup of the Liaison Committee – setup by a meeting elected by the ratepayers.</li> <li>• Simon Weston – what is happening is members from the Liaison Committee are spread between two groups and as a result the interest of the swamp is being divided. As long as people are pulling in different directions the Liaison Committee will struggle – from the WDC point of view the Liaison Committee was setup with members from each pocket to work together and to agree on should be done on the scheme for the benefit of the entire scheme.</li> <li>• Evan Smeath – believes the people have never been so united and that there are one or two causing the fraction. Invited the members of the Concerned Hikurangi Swamp Ratepayers to attend the next Liaison meeting, 2<sup>nd</sup> Tuesday of each month, voice their opinions there.</li> <li>• Ray Hindrup – when you have a group engaging the NRC in something as serious as legal action to overturn our resource consent, this is expensive and serious.</li> <li>• Russell Mortimer (recently appointed Chairman of the Liaison Committee) – focus of the committee has been to get the scheme operating as best as it can under the constraints of the resource consent, is aware of the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme United Farmers and the Concerned Hikurangi Swamp Ratepayers groups.</li> </ul>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simon Weston asked that the Liaison Committee place at the top of their next meeting agenda the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme United Farmers and the Concerned Hikurangi Swamp Ratepayers – between themselves work through how these groups can be best managed so that from Council perspective we are hearing just one voice without the amount of concerns being heard now.</li> <li>• Russell Mortimer – can propose but believes they are two different groups.</li> <li>• Bruce Cutforth – WDC called this meeting to report to us, they have identified a problem in terms of our relationship, the manager of the project has expressed problems in the relationship – to sweep under the table today is nonsense. There is a major conflict of interest with the people seeking legal process to change the system and I do not want to be part of the legal process or the cost. The swamp scheme will pay twice if it goes to legal action. I would be happy to sign off on Concerned Hikurangi Swamp Ratepayers if the other group said they would work constructively with NRC if there is an issue with the resource consent. There must be a process we can all work together without the legal action.</li> <li>• Neville Thorn – confirmed that he was a member of the Hikurangi Swamp United Farmers and asked if there were legal proceedings or costs.</li> <li>• Simon Weston confirmed that fair number of letters were received from Solicitors</li> <li>• Ray Hindrup – asked who pays for WDC and staff time to deal with those letters.</li> <li>• Conal Summers - advised that if the requests are a Official Information Act request the costs are charged as per the Council fees and charges structure, if the request is by Liaison Committee or an individual ratepayer then the costs are charged under the scheme overheads</li> <li>• Andrew Carvell – only one charge has been made under the Official Information Act to date and we do monitor our time spent on putting together responses to various requests and press releases currently covered under the overheads. Part of the long term plan how we charge in the future will be looked at. The confusion from WDC view is information requests can come from the same person under all three groups and makes it difficult to determine if the request is in the interest of the wider scheme.</li> <li>• Cara Lindsay – at the last Liaison meeting the concern was identified that Ben was a spokesperson for the United Farmers and the Liaison Committee and will now be withdrawing from the United Farmers as their spokesperson.</li> </ul>	<b>Liaison Committee</b>
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------

<p><b>Scheme Model History</b></p> <p><b>Andrew Carvell</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council began work on a hydraulic model of the drainage scheme in the early 1990s in which MWH were engaged.</li> <li>• Independently another model of the scheme was developed but there were conflicts between the two model outcomes.</li> <li>• Over the period of model development the 10 year existing use right available at the date of adoption of the RMA expired and WDC wished to authorise its activities within the scheme through gaining resource consent.</li> <li>• The Council model began to be developed in way that could feed into the consent process. The consent was lodged in 2001.</li> <li>• Independent commissioners representing NRC noted the model was detailed, collaborated and peer reviewed.</li> <li>• Commissioners did raise concerns as to whether the model was accurate and to allow for that required that a monitoring process to measure amount of water going into the pockets and therefore adjustments if required after five storm event.</li> <li>• There are two models being developed those two models came together in the resource consent process and currently scheme is being run on the model that went through the resource consent process.</li> <li>• Evan Smeath - NRC control 27 of the 28 flood control programmes in Northland – 28<sup>th</sup> is the Hikurangi Swamp all totalling approx 41,000 hectares. If the NRC run a 3D Hydrology model would WDC accept the information or not? That would settle the issues happening now and be totally independent.</li> <li>• Bruce Howse (NRC) – NRC would be happy to discuss regarding models and the process and the NRC independent opinion on that. NRC has looked at the current model as it stands and believes the 1D model is fine. 3D modelling is not used anywhere in these types of projects.</li> <li>• Ben Smith – model was completed in 2009 why was the data from the 2011 January flood not been entered into the model?</li> <li>• Conal Summers – adjustments hadn't been completed and couldn't be modelled.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• James Blackburn – Jan 2011/Mar 2007 – the scheme has an operational limit in terms of distribution of 30 yr event.</li> <li>• Ben Smith – data replicated against the 2005 model flood and the observed data was only half the spill.</li> <li>• James Blackburn – there is a misunderstanding on what the model flood is. The original model by MWH tried to come up with a design flood which is a fundamentally flawed mechanism for deciding on how a model should be adjusted. The whole thing is about an average distribution of flood water over a range of events.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Consent Update</b></p> <p><b>Simon Weston</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Spillways – Ngararatunua Completion – Contractor will be back next Wednesday on site and have commented that they are concerned of further protests, action and threats may happen. Let them do their job – as it will costs the scheme in the long run.</li> <li>• Evan Smeath – requested a copy of the work contract</li> <li>• Conal to supply the survey once volumes have been confirmed.</li> <li>• Stopbanks – intended the works will be done over the next 3 years. Detailed information is within the LTP.</li> <li>• Two years since the consent was granted.</li> <li>• Full Scheme Management Plan - most requirements fulfilled some clarifications.</li> <li>• Fisheries Management Plan drafting at the moment, Conal to provide once completed.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Conal S</b></p>

<b>Te Mata &amp; Mountain – Pump Replacement Update</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KSB Pumps ordered December on Committee recommendation.</li> <li>• Mountain Pump due mid April</li> <li>• Te Mata Pump due mid July.</li> <li>• Tenders close tomorrow (24/2/2012) for Mountain Civil Works.</li> <li>• Te Mata – minimal civil works – WDC to engage resources directly.</li> </ul>	
<b>Fencing of Waterways</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consent requirements – intend to undertake under grazing licenses.</li> <li>• Achievable by end of 2012.</li> <li>• Temporary fencing only while stock is grazing.</li> <li>• Neville Thorn commented that permanent fencing is not going to work – there are three issues; feed; water; shelter – if they got sorted fencing won't be needed.</li> </ul>	
<b>Operations &amp; Maintenance</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduction of WDC new Operations Engineer – Glenys Rule</li> </ul>	
<b>Long Term Strategy – FOCUS</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20 plus years down the track – what is the vision for the scheme?</li> <li>• Changes in rainfall intensity/frequency</li> <li>• Management of Scheme</li> <li>• Need for Adaption</li> <li>• Financial Viability</li> </ul>	<b>Liaison Committee</b>
<b>Other Business</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ray Hindrup – we need to be ready for inflow floods – inflow banks need to be finished, remainder of automatic recorders put in, everyone needs to supply information.</li> </ul>	
<b>Closing</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Councillor Phil Halse – approximately 8 months ago out of good faith WDC agreed through a consultation phase to setup a Liaison Committee, at the same time a legal challenge was received. Local body process when legal proceedings occur, negotiations stop until resolved. When a proposal was put before Council to ratify the Liaison Committee – the agenda item was pulled and therefore the Liaison Committee has not been formally ratified by Council because of the legal challenge on the side. There has been alot of myths and misinformation. To clear up the matter regarding Ray Hindrup. Ray approached Council approximately 8 years ago concerned with flooding issues. He was prepared to put money out of his pocket to do his own modelling, when is came to the resource consent both Ray and Council had modelling and it took Council two years to convince Ray to hand over his information – Ray still owns the intellectual property to his research. All the information went to the commissioners – members of the Liaison Committee were part of the submission process, no appeals were made. At the moment Council is supporting the scheme but we are going through a public submission and the \$4m is not secured.</li> </ul>	

Meeting closed at 12.20pm

Next Meeting: TBA

## Minutes: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group

*Minutes of meeting held on Thursday, 26 April 2012 at 2.30 pm.*

<b>Chair person</b>	Phil Halse
<b>Purpose</b>	Open forum to discuss the working group proposal, including pocket representatives, terms of reference, and code of conduct Raise key issues including rate rise and resource consent issues and delegation of managing these items
<b>Present</b>	Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Mark Simpson, Gavin Donelley , Ken Finlayson, Pedro McHardy, Alan Moscrip, Simon Donelley, Jack Ilich, Noel Rockell, Even Smeath, Martin Smith, Phil Hindrup.
<b>Apologies</b>	Luke Beehre, Te Raa Nehua, Conal Summers

Item	Description	Action
<b>Introduction</b>	<p>PH opened meeting and talked about need to move forward.</p> <p>Also discussed NRC agenda item re operation of scheme</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Large exercise</li> <li>- Transfer of \$22m of assets</li> <li>- Need consent issues resolved.</li> </ul> <p>Mark Simpson declared potential conflict as wife (and himself via trust) owns land in Ngararaturua Pocket~ 100ha.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- New Start- Work together</li> <li>- Bottom line- scheme needs to be sustainable.</li> <li>- Group needs to build strength before transfer of powers goes to farmers.</li> </ul> <p>Phil Halse stated that how the scheme is managed needs to be done fairly</p> <p>Even Smeath said fair and equitable is questioned as resource consent outcome seems unfair to many</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Root cause of the issue</li> <li>- His opinion scheme was fair before resource consent process started changes</li> <li>- LIDAR data collection may help definition of model.</li> </ul> <p>Noel Rockell noted model only covers major scheme not u/s catchment</p> <p>Andrew Carvell advised NRC initiated peer review of model during consent AC to provide peer review report to ES. Evan Smeath and Andrew Carvell to look at peer review of existing model.</p>	AC / ES
<b>1. Representatives</b>	<p>Generally all agreed OK</p> <p>Need back-up rep</p> <p>Do reps need to be rate payers No, just need to be supported by pocket - may be reviewed.</p> <p>Terms of ref to be developed after first meeting.</p> <p>Table existing TOR as basis . AC to Email prior to next meeting All agreed NRC representative to be invited on working group . Andrew Carvell to invite attendee Notification of meeting 2 weeks notification period appreciated</p>	<p>Pocket reps to advise</p> <p>Resolved</p> <p>AC</p> <p>AC</p> <p>Noted</p>

<b>2. Stop banks vs Pump Upgrade</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Prioritisation of funding</li> <li>- Suggest bank works take precedent over pump upgrades</li> <li>- What is required stop bank lift height.</li> <li>- Simon Weston confirmed varied heights.</li> <li>- Large cost associated with batters – What is needed?</li> <li>- Need to confirm what minimum resource consent requirement in relation to batter profile with NRC</li> <li>- Also clarify stop bank/ control bank/ spillway definition</li> <li>- AC / ES Clarify in conjunction with NRC. Also query NRC re inflow rates</li> </ul>	AC/ES to discuss with NRC
<b>3. Rate Rises</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Phil Halse queried what group thought was sustainable</li> <li>- Simon Donnelly noted \$10k now \$36k in 10years</li> <li>- Evan Smeath opposes rate rise – need other funding options</li> <li>- Mark Simpson noted it was ring fenced scheme – needs to be self supporting.</li> <li>- Even Smeath queried option of flat rate across every title in scheme in catchment</li> <li>- To be included with discussion with NRC (Bob Cathcart) re historic perspective.</li> <li>- Andrew Carvell noted if scheme is \$2M in debt at end of financial year interest alone is estimated at \$120k. Significant cost even if scheme isn't operating</li> <li>- Financial models. Need to look at options</li> <li>- Pump upgrades/ stop banks - stick to current purchase (Te Mata + Mountain)</li> <li>- Revisit next meeting when financials sent through/ sorted</li> </ul>	AC/ES to discuss with NRC  Conal S
<b>4. Gravity drainage</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- WDC had discussed consent change with NRC</li> <li>- May help address slow Dissolved oxygen and save operating costs</li> <li>- Cost to put in structure - how would it be funded under existing budget</li> <li>- Include with NRC discussion</li> </ul>	AC/ES to discuss with NRC
<b>5. Berm land management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Single portable wire works</li> <li>- Water supply~ main issue</li> <li>- Fonterra experience (Gavin Donnelly)             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Single wire electric</li> <li>o Re-instate once flood passes</li> </ul> </li> <li>- NRC workshop this week or next to discuss. AC to follow up on outcome.</li> </ul>	AC/ES to discuss with NRC
<b>6. Liaison chair remuneration</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Evan Smeath nominated payment</li> <li>- Mark Simpson - Liaison group not all in favour of chair appointment. Cost not raised through proper procedure so difficult to approve.</li> <li>- Pocket head count – 8 in favour to pay, 2 not.</li> <li>- Pedro McCardy stated he will cover if not settled.</li> <li>- Phil Halse to arrange discussion with Russell Mortimer</li> </ul>	PH
<b>7. Other</b>	<p>Code of conduct</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Information request outside of working group will be charged</li> <li>- General agreement that enquirer to be personally liable for costs</li> </ul> <p>Damage to stop banks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Parts which were washed out</li> <li>- Get fixed</li> <li>- Top end Junction</li> </ul> <p>ES noted Diggers working in Forsyth drain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Pedro McCardy queried Mayors involvement</li> <li>- AC to follow up</li> </ul>	AC to follow up with Ops  AC to follow up with Ops

<b>Next Meeting</b>	Tbc	
<b>Meeting closed</b>	4.15pm	

# Agenda: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group Meeting Friday 11 May 2012 at 2.00 pm, Kauri Room

**Chair:** Cr. Deeming

**Scribe:** Shirley Turner

**Invited Attendees:** Cr. Halse, Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Conal Summers, Mark Simpson, Bruce Howse, Luke Beehre, Simon Donnelly, Noel Rockell, Phill Hindrup, Ken Finlayson, Martin Smith, Evan Smeath, Jack Ilich, Pedro McHardy, Te Raa Nehua, Gavin Donelley.

**Purpose:** **To address Hikurangi Swamp Scheme issues**

	<b>Topic/Owner</b>	<b>Information</b>
1	Last Meeting	<i>Minutes</i>
2	Apologies	
3	Terms of Reference/ Code of Conduct	<i>Adoption</i>
4	Financial & Rates	- <i>Scenario for stopbank works in 1 year, no pump upgrades</i> - <i>Should a rates review be undertaken?</i>
5	Feedback from NRC meetings previous week-	- <i>Scheme model- collaboration with NRC</i> - <i>Gravity drainage- change to consent</i>
6	Fisheries management plan	<i>Discussion</i>
7	AOB	<i>All</i>

**Meeting closed at**

# **HIKURANGI SWAMP WORKING GROUP**

## **CODE OF CONDUCT**

### **Introduction**

The Hikurangi Swamp Working Group was formed in April 2012 to provide a forum for stakeholder and Council liaison with a focus on raising and addressing scheme issues into the future.

This Code of Conduct applies to all members of the Hikurangi Swamp Working Group including invited representatives, elected Members, and Council staff.

It seeks to achieve the following objectives:

1. To provide guidance on the standards of behaviour expected of the working group members to ensure that they can participate in the group in a constructive and cohesive manner.
2. To provide a framework for circumstances where there may be a breach of the Code of Conduct.

To achieve these objectives, the Code sets out the following:

### **Principles of conduct**

The group members shall act in an appropriate manner. They shall:

1. Attend group meetings on time and provide apologies in advance if unable to attend a meeting;
2. Treat other group members with respect;
3. Refrain from use of inappropriate and offensive language and behaviour;
4. Be adequately prepared for each group meeting;
5. Information for group members is provided in good faith and there is an expectation that any issues arising will be discussed through the working group rather than publicly (e.g through news media)
6. Members of the working group are expected to be supportive of the scheme and the agencies involved in managing the scheme and must not bring the scheme or its members into disrepute
7. Where any potential conflict of interest exists, the group member shall declare this interest and where appropriate shall not attend the meeting in question. This will be acknowledged and confirmed by the Chair at the group meeting.

### **Code of Conduct Breaches**

Where a group member is deemed to have breached the Code of Conduct, the Chair shall decide on the member's status and whether exclusion from the group is appropriate.

## Minutes: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group

*Minutes of meeting held on Friday, 11 May 2012 at 2.30 pm.*

<b>Chair person</b>	Shelley Deeming
<b>Purpose</b>	Open forum to discuss the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme Issues Raise key issues including rate rise and resource consent issues.
<b>Present</b>	Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Mark Simpson, Gavin Donelley , Ken Finlayson, Simon Donelley, Jack Ilich, Even Smeath, Phil Hindrup, Luke Beehre, Conal Summers, Glenys Rule, Martin Smith, Bruce Howse(NRC), Noel Rockell
<b>Apologies</b>	Te Raa Nehua, Pedro McHardy, Cr. Halse

Item	Description	Action
<b>Introduction</b>	<p>Shelley Deeming opened the meeting and asked that the previous minutes be passed as true and correct. PH tabled a summary of his concerns (appended to these minutes).</p> <p>Mark Simpson declared potential conflict as wife (and himself via trust) owns land in Ngararaturua Pocket~ 100ha.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reiterated the need to move forward</li> <li>- It is agreed that statements need to be backed up with facts if any are raised.</li> </ul>	Phil Hindrup
<b>1. Code of Conduct</b>	<p>With regard to voting on issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- While there are differing views around the table. Even if a person is outvoted they still should have a right to speak.</li> <li>- If there is a conflict of interest then point 7 should apply and that person will relinquish their right to speak on the conflicting matter.</li> <li>- It should be noted that once information has been told to others outside of the group it is not their responsibility what happens with it.</li> </ul> <p>Shelley moved – The Code of Conduct be accepted by the group All accepted</p>	Note
<b>2. Terms of Reference</b>	<p>Bruce from NRC is welcomed to the group.</p> <p>Shelley moved- The Terms of Reference to be accepted by the group. All accepted.</p>	Note
<b>3. Rate Rises</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The majority of the group agreed they would like the pump upgrades and the stop bank upgrades.</li> <li>- The 4 payment options were given.</li> <li>- It was agreed that more options should be given as the first option was too high of a rise in the first year and the last option was unviable.</li> <li>- Conal bought 4 more options to the group which would stagger the payments out over the 10 years. They are to take them back to their pockets and discuss. They will email their responses back by the 18th May so proposal may be given to councillors to make a decision.</li> <li>- A breakdown of the costs was requested so they know what</li> </ul>	<p>Pockets</p> <p>Conal S</p>

	<p>they are paying for and also different scenarios. (If you pay this percentage your rates will be?) Also considering the different rate classes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ES considered mismanagement of council to be part of the reason for the level of debt. A breakdown has been provided to everyone and further discussion can be made on this, if needed.</li> <li>- Please note that costs had been discussed at previous meetings.</li> <li>- PH advised Otonga pumps could be run to failure to save costs and then they will await an order overseas or to use any spare pumps that we may have? It was believed that it was more important to keep the rates down than replace the pump.</li> <li>- It is noted that people above SH1 would like the pumps to not be upgraded if it incurs rate rises.</li> <li>- It is noted that if a new pump falls over then this will be an additional cost.</li> <li>- Stop banks money to be spent in year 1 to meet compliance. This will be monitored.</li> <li>- All in favour of consent work to be done before pump upgrades.</li> <li>- Top of the scheme should be done first. Tanekaha and the Junction are priority.</li> <li>- Te Mata has a low point. Future damage needs stronger monitoring.</li> </ul>	<p>Note</p> <p>Note</p>
<b>4. NRC Meeting Feedback</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Andrew C &amp; Evan met with monitoring staff.</li> <li>- NRC reviewed and supported the model</li> <li>- 16 questions raised by group – Evan awaiting answers from Bruce</li> <li>- Decision to be made on model</li> </ul>	<p>Bruce to discuss with Evan</p>
<b>5. Berm land management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Stop banks need to be done to legal consents with the slopes to be structurally sound.</li> <li>- Stop banks between pockets need topping up in places to 600mm</li> <li>- If they don't require topping up they won't be done.</li> <li>- No earthworks to do until Summer</li> <li>- It is a requirement that cattle do not enter the river.</li> <li>- All agree that providing troughs as alternative water supply will ensure cows do not go near the river.</li> <li>- AC asks that pocket reps provide him a list of lease holders that don't have alternative access to water.</li> </ul>	<p>All Berm land lease holders</p> <p>Pocket Reps</p>
<b>6. Fisheries Management Plan</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Monitoring will begin at Mountain. Gravity gates will have rocks for easy access, at low flows the gates will be open, tag &amp; release on migrant eels to be done, devices to hold them while the pumps are on.</li> <li>- Deoxygenation of water is to be looked into by NRC.</li> </ul>	
<b>7. Bank Cuttings</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Questions asked as to whether the affected land owner is responsible for the cost or the ratepayers or scheme?</li> <li>- Power saving to scheme if gravity drained.</li> <li>- There is a possibility of having drainage gates put in to stop costly cuts happening. Estimates will be needed. Especially for Junction which is badly affected.</li> <li>- Strict controls and criteria will need to be put in place over who gets flood gates.</li> <li>- Andrew and Simon are to bring a recommendation together re</li> </ul>	<p>Simon/Mark</p> <p>Andrew/Simon</p>

	costs and future cutting. - There is a need to monitor cuts that are getting done.	
<b>8. TPI Contract &amp; Work</b>	- ES thought that TPI have very poor management. They haven't done the jobs properly, have guys with no supervision and they are costing alot of money. Jobs have taken too long. Andrew is to follow up with this. Andrew pointed out that Greg Palmer was TPI and there had been no issues raised with his service. - Suggestions have been made to find other contractor once their contract is up.	Andrew C
<b>9. Liaison chair remuneration</b>	- Cr. Halse has talked to Russell. Council to pay 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> , 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> to write off from Russell and 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> to others.	
<b>10. Other</b>	- The top of Junction has damage from the last flood. Pricing up of options needs to be done to fix this. - No more earthworks to be done until summer. Access ways can be repaired. - SS bars that protect the pumps from logs are not to be replaced yet. May need to bolt them down as people may steal them for scrap metal. - Fonterra drain needs to be cleaned out. It is approx ½ km. As 24kms of drain clearing has already been done council must be wary of their operational budgets. Also forward communication of times drains will be cleared needs to be better so both parties can operate smoothly. - When NRC & WDC have meetings regarding transfer of swamp management should there be a farmer present? It was said that the farmers views are valued but these meetings are very preliminary and much thought will have to be put into whether any changes would take place at all.	
<b>Next Meeting</b>	TBC	
<b>Meeting Closed</b>	4.00pm	

### **Matters arising**

ES statement that the Scheme was working very well before RC alterations for all parties.

This is deliberate miss information.

What he intended to say was that those people protected by illegal inflow banks were receiving no inflow water at all.

His statement is a totally prejudiced, bias and selfish view.

Does ES hold a degree in Hydrology or Engineering.

Why didn't he raise this point at the RC hearing. He could have told the commissioners that his personal view was more important than the technical data provided by and scrutinised by the following:

Dr S Joyns

Mr M Menzies

Mr T Jamision

UBS

Watershed

Dr Jones and Mr Watson

This sort of misinformation has stalled and twisted the Scheme for over 26 years. There is no place for anyone with these views on this working group. I ask for the immediate removal of ES from THIS WORKING GROUP.

### **Rates**

ES made a statement that he wants a flat rate over all of the HSS catchment. Another example of his selfish greedy grasping view. This statement is contained in the minutes of the last meeting and there is nothing to say that the meeting did not agree with it. Therefore we want this suggestion publically advertised and put to every ratepayer on the Scheme, immediately. If this is what the working group is considering, then every ratepayer has a right to know and have an input into this ludicrous suggestion.

### **Pumps or Banks**

It is not pumps or banks at all. We will deal with them independently.

#### 1. Pumps

They will be replaced only when they have stopped pumping and cannot be repaired for less than 75% of the cost of a new pump installed.

Cancel the Te Mata pump immediately.

#### 2. Banks

There is no requirement to put 650 on our stop banks.

The low spots on the stop banks are due to stock and vehicle damage.

Until the pocket rep or lease or whoever caused the damage puts the money on the table to pay for fixing it the banks will stay as they are.

We have been paying for this deliberate damage for 25 years, it is time we stopped. It is not the pumps or the banks, it is neither, put the cheque book away now.

As for spreading drain cleanings it is not part of the Scheme philosophy, we know it has been done in the past illegally for privileged pockets. It is never to be done again.

The replacement of culverts are the landowners responsibility. This has always been the case. Just another of ES grasping.

### Rates

The Soil conservation and rivers control Act 1941.

Land shall be classified according to the degree of benefit and indirect benefit received or likely to be received.

# Agenda: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group Meeting Tuesday 26 June 2012 at 1.00 pm, Kauri Room

**Chair:** Cr. Halse

**Scribe:** Shirley Turner

**Invited Attendees:** Cr. Halse, Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Conal Summers, Mark Simpson, Glenys Rule, Mark Shaw, Bruce Howse, Tess Dacre, Luke Beehre, Simon Donnelly, Noel Rockell, Phil Hindrup, Ken Finlayson, Martin Smith, Evan Smeath, Jack Ilich, Pedro McHardy, Te Raa Nehua, Gavin Donelley.

**Purpose:** **To address Hikurangi Swamp Scheme issues**

	<b>Topic/Owner</b>	<b>Information</b>
1	Last Meeting	<i>Minutes</i>
2	Apologies	
3	Financial & Rates	- <i>LTP update including rates and realignment of capex (pump upgrades) to cover 25/25/25 increase</i>
4	Capex update	- <i>Control bank adjustments-Proposed approach to identifying areas</i> - <i>Mountain &amp; Te Mata Pumps</i> - <i>Gravity gates- no capex allocated-need to fund within existing</i>
5	Modelling-update from NRC/WDC	- <i>Scheme model- collaboration with NRC</i>
6	Stock exclusion from waterways	- <i>Discussion with NRC re acceptable method</i>
7	Maintenance	- <i>Drain cleaning</i> - <i>Any issues</i>
8	Whakapara hui	- <i>Overview of hui</i>
9	Administration	- <i>Feedback of information to pocket ratepayers</i>
10	AOB	<i>All</i>

**Meeting closed at**

## Minutes: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group Meeting

### Tuesday, 26 June 2012 at 1.00pm, Kauri Room, Walton Plaza

*Minutes of meeting held at the Whangarei District Council Offices, Walton Plaza, Kauri Room on Tuesday, 26 June 2012 at 1pm.*

<b>Facilitator</b>	Councillor Phil Halse
<b>Purpose</b>	Open forum to discuss the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme Issues
<b>Present</b>	Cr Phil Halse (facilitator), Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Conal Summers, Glenys Rule, Shirley Turner (scribe), Ken Finlayson, Simon Donnelly, Jack Ilich, Phil Hindrup, Martin Smith, Bruce Howse (NRC), Judy Imeson
<b>Apologies</b>	Mark Simpson, Te Raa Nehua, Evan Smeath, Noel Rockell

Councillor Phil Halse address the floor, opened the meeting welcoming members and staff representatives from the Whangarei District Council.

Item	Description	Action
<b>Financial Matters and Rates</b>	Andrew Carvell addressed meeting on the financial and rate information. LTP update which included rates and realignment of capex (pump upgrades) to cover the 25/25/25 increase.	Andrew C
<b>Capex Update</b>	<p><b>Control Bank Adjustments</b></p> <p>Conal looking at what's needed and council would contact the farmers to indicate what areas need to be looked at.</p> <p><b>Mountain Pump</b></p> <p>This has arrived. Tender to go out for Te Mata – contract currently being drawn up.</p> <p><b>Gravity Gates</b></p> <p>Currently looking at options, models and benefits. There is no capital allocation, cost not included and will be extra.</p> <p>There was discussion around where the cost lie around pockets that have been cut, it was confirmed that the cost should lie with the farmer.</p> <p>A motion was put forward that the scheme paid for original costs but this was not carried.</p> <p>Another motion was put forward that the scheme paid 50% and the farmer(s) involved paid the balance. Moved: Ken Finlayson, Second: Simon Donnelly.</p>	Conal S
<b>Modelling Update</b>	Andrew Carvell advised that himself/Evan and Neville looked at models and options and discussed with NRC – collaboration and wider catchment management issues.	Andrew C
<b>Stock Exclusion from Waterways</b>	Cattle need to be excluded and fenced from Waterways. Suggestion made that each pocket talk to their neighbours to ensure that all comply with fencing & waterways.	Open discussion

<b>Maintenance</b>	<p>Drain cleaning has been completed. Martin Smith asked what the contract price was. Andrew Carvell confirmed the price being \$1.20 p/metre.</p> <p>It was advised that farmers put through suggestions what they see as the contractor doing a good job.</p> <p>Transpacific contract is up for renewal and will go back out to the market. Martin Smith suggested a position like Brian Cutts was needed and that service had gone backwards with Transpacific.</p>	
<b>Whakapara Hui</b>	<p>Andrew Carvell gave an overview of the hui held. It was attended by government bodies looking at the wider catchment as a whole and how there was a wider management community collaboration.</p>	Andrew C
<b>Administration</b>	<p>Feedback was received from farmers in regard to information from forum not being received by all pockets. Suggestion was made that information be made available on the Whangarei District Council website.</p>	Andrew C
<b>Any Other Business</b>	<p>Ngaratanua Spillway – three prices received \$6k being the cheapest price.</p> <p>Judi Imeson asked that notification be made when minutes were placed online</p>	Conal S

**Meeting closed at 2.17pm**

**Next Meeting: TBA**

# Agenda: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group Meeting Tuesday 28 August 2012 at 11.00 pm, Kauri Room

**Chair:** Cr. Halse

**Scribe:** Shirley Turner

**Invited Attendees:** Cr. Halse, Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Conal Summers, Mark Simpson, Glenys Rule, Mark Shaw, Bruce Howse, Tess Dacre, Luke Beehre, Simon Donnelly, Noel Rockell, Phil Hindrup, Ken Finlayson, Martin Smith, Evan Smeath, Jack Ilich, Pedro McHardy, Te Raa Nehua, Gavin Donelley.

**Purpose:** **To address Hikurangi Swamp Scheme issues**

	<b>Topic/Owner</b>	<b>Information</b>
1	Last Meeting	<i>Minutes</i>
2	Apologies	
3	Pump Updates	
4	Control banks Update	
5	Management Options	<i>-Possibility of different management models e.g. pocket based management of some aspects</i>
6	Rates Review	
7	Maintenance	- <i>Contract</i> - <i>Any issues</i>
8	Resource Consent Issues	-
9	AOB	<i>All</i>

**Meeting closed at**

## Minutes: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group Meeting

Tuesday, 28 August 2012 at 11.00am, Kauri Room, Walton Plaza

*Minutes of meeting held at the Whangarei District Council Offices, Walton Plaza, Kauri Room on Tuesday, 28 August 2012 at 11am.*

<b>Facilitator</b>	Councillor Phil Halse
<b>Purpose</b>	Open forum to discuss the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme Issues
<b>Present</b>	Cr Phil Halse (facilitator), Andrew Carvell, Conal Summers, Glenys Rule, Shirley Turner (scribe), Ken Finlayson, Simon Donnelly, Neville Thorn, Phil Hindrup, Allan Halliday, Te Raa Nehua, Evan Smeath
<b>Apologies</b>	Mark Simpson, Simon Weston, Martin Smith, Bruce Howse <b>moved</b> Neville Thorn <b>second</b> Simon Donnelly

Item	Description	Action
<b>Financial Matters/Minutes Last Meeting</b>	Andrew Carvell addressed meeting on the financial information. Minutes approved/carried Phil Halse/Phil Hindrup	Andrew C
<b>Pump Updates</b>	<p><b>Pump Failure</b></p> <p>Conal advised that the Te Mata pump failed 2 weeks ago</p> <p>Evan Smeath asked what the process was when pumps failed and advised that he has done research into backup pumps with a few suppliers that would require onsite visits. Phil Halse asked that Evan get information together and send in through to WDC for discussion.</p> <p><b>Mountain Pump</b></p> <p>Tender has been awarded to Steve Bowling Contracting.</p> <p>Neville Thorn expressed concern that construction work has not been done. Andrew Carvell agreed that in hindsight it may have been accelerated.</p> <p>Phil Halse advised if possible to shorten the timeframe for completion</p>	Conal S Evan S
<b>Control Bank Update</b>	<p>Steve Gwilliam from Kennedys and Associates is currently working on volumes, specifications to meet NRC consent requirements.</p> <p>Neville Thorn – low area needs to be identified. Conal advised that WDC had contacted most pocket reps regarding this and had given out maps at previous meeting asking for feedback</p>	Conal S



<b>Any Other Business</b>	<p>A request was made that meetings are firm and fixed and that information is received in advance prior to the meeting and that all accounts are viewed prior to payment.</p> <p>TPI Report – request for the July report – Conal advised that this had not be received.</p> <p>Proposed Unitary Authority – Phil Halse advised that this is still in preliminary discussions and that a formal notification may be received in November</p> <p>Evan Smeath expressed concern on the scheme being divided through the proposal. Phil advise that it was important that submissions were made to keep the status quo to ensure that this did not happen.</p> <p>Conal advised that one of the monitoring stations was stolen and asked that the working group advise if they hear of any information as although insured the excess is \$5k.</p>	
---------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

**Meeting closed at 12.45pm**

**Next Meeting: 4 October, 3-4.30 pm, Kauri Room, Walton Plaza**

# Agenda: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group Meeting Thursday 4 October 2012 at 3.00 pm, Kahikatea Room

**Chair:** Cr. Halse

**Scribe:** Shirley Turner

**Invited Attendees:** Cr. Halse, Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Conal Summers, Mark Simpson, Glenys Rule, Mark Shaw, Bruce Howse, Tess Dacre, Luke Beehre, Simon Donnelly, Noel Rockell, Phil Hindrup, Ken Finlayson, Martin Smith, Evan Smeath, Jack Ilich, Pedro McHardy, Te Raa Nehua, Gavin Donelley.

**Purpose:** **To address Hikurangi Swamp Scheme issues**

	<b>Topic/Owner</b>	<b>Information</b>
1	Last Meeting	<i>Minutes</i>
2	Apologies	
3	Pump Updates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Te Mata</i></li><li>- <i>Mountain contract</i></li><li>- <i>Pump failures Okarika &amp; Ngararatunua</i></li></ul>
4	Control banks Update	<i>-Outline of works</i>
6	<b>Rates Review</b>	<i>Update</i>
7	Maintenance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Contract update</i></li><li>- <i>Any issues</i></li></ul>
8	Resource Consent Issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-<i>Fish passage at stations</i></li><li>- <i>Fencing</i></li></ul>
9	AOB	<i>All</i>

**Meeting closed at**

## Minutes: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group Meeting

### Friday, 19 October 2012 at 9am, Kauri Room, Walton Plaza

*Minutes of meeting held at the Whangarei District Council Offices, Walton Plaza, Kauri Room on Friday, 19 October 2012 at 9am.*

<b>Facilitator</b>	Councillor Phil Halse
<b>Purpose</b>	Open forum to discuss the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme Issues
<b>Present</b>	Cr Phil Halse (facilitator), Mark Simpson, Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Glenys Rule, Amy Turner (scribe until 9.30am) Shirley Turner (scribe from 9.30am, Martyn Smith, Ken Finlayson, Jack Ilich, Pedro McHardy, Phil Hindrup, Simon Donnelly, Luck Beehre, Evan Smeath, Noel Rockell, Bruce Howse, Tess Dacre
<b>Apologies</b>	Te Raa Nehua, Gavin Donnelly, Conal Summers

Councillor Phil Halse address the floor, opened the meeting welcoming members and staff representatives from the Whangarei District Council.

Item	Description	Action
<b>Code of Conduct</b>	<p>Cr Phil Halse addresses the rules within the Code of Conduct and Terms of Reference.</p> <p>A copy of the Code of Conduct and Terms of Reference were handed to the group.</p> <p>Mark Simpson reiterated to the group that only invited members were able to attend the working group meetings.</p> <p>Andrew Carvell advised that an email was received from Evan Smeath on behalf of Concerned Farmers Group advising pending legal action.</p> <p>A Conflict of Interest Declaration form was handed to the group for signing. Simon Weston went through the terms and the group were asked to sign.</p> <p>Pedro Hardy declined to sign and left the meeting at 9.45am</p> <p>Martin Smith and Evan Smeath also decline to sign and left the meeting at 10am</p> <p>Attendees who signed the Conflict of Interest Declaration were as follows;</p> <p>Jack Ilich, Mark Simpson, Glenys Rule, Luke Beehre, Phil Hindrup, Simon Donnelly, Kenneth Finlayson, Simon Weston, Phil Halse, Andrew Carvell</p>	<p>Phil</p> <p>Mark S</p> <p>Andrew C</p>
<b>Last Meeting</b>	Last minutes accepted	Moved/Second Simon D/Phil Halse
<b>Apologies</b>	Accepted	Moved/Second Simon D/Phil Halse
<b>Pump Updates</b>	<p>Andrew Carvell gave updates on the pumps</p> <p>Te Mata – Currently being installed</p> <p>Mountain – pump contract was awarded to Steve Bowling contractors</p>	Andrew C

	<p>Pump failures at Okarika and Ngararatunua were caused by damaged coils</p> <p>Suggestion was put forward that any planned capital emergency works be brought before the working group prior to work being commissioned.</p>	
<b>Modelling Update</b>	Andrew Carvell advised that himself/Evan and Neville looked at models and options and discussed with NRC – collaboration and wider catchment management issues.	
<b>Stock Exclusion from Waterways</b>	<p>Cattle need to be excluded and fenced from Waterways. Suggestion made that each pocket talk to their neighbours to ensure that all comply with fencing &amp; waterways otherwise enforcement action may/can be taken.</p> <p>NRC &amp; WDC staff to supply required guidelines for farmers ASAP.</p>	<p>Open discussion</p> <p>NRC &amp;WDC Staff</p>
<b>Maintenance</b>	<p>Maintenance Contract – there were 6 tenderers, a recommendation was put forward based on an evaluation of non-price attributes and price, which was Hydrotech. The contract is a 3+1+1 term.</p> <p>Luke Beehre left meeting at 10.25am</p>	
<b>Capex Update</b>	<b>Control Bank Adjustments</b>	
	<p>Presentation - Design development for the Control Bank remediation</p> <p>Simon Donnelly asked was the material going to be imported, suggestion that in order to keep the cost down that the material be obtain on site.</p> <p>Mark Simpson – staff needed to get the information on where the material was to be obtained and Conal to nominate possible on sites. Farmers to provided areas of possibility and WDC to visit sites.</p> <p>Andrew Carvell agreed to assess alternative sites</p>	<p>Mark Shaw</p> <p>Andrew C</p>

<b>Rates Review</b>	<p>Andrew Carvell updated group on Rates Review.</p> <p>Advised need for process to go through LGA consultation process, which could be part of Annual Plan – due for notification in March 2013. Discussion about getting all info together and doing consultation meant 2013 was unlikely to be a possibility. Suggestion was for 2014 as this would allow 18 months lead time.</p> <p>Actions needed include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop proposal</li> <li>• Assess property boundaries (GIS mapping exercise)</li> <li>• Develop cost scenarios for consultation             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Options may include lump sum contribution and / or fixed charge</li> <li>○ Assessment of beneficiaries / Exacerbators</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Consultation</li> <li>• Recommendation</li> <li>• Cost to do review would include, legal, consultation process</li> <li>• Align with requirements of LGA and Rating Act</li> </ul> <p>Group advised that there was no support for a redraw of boundaries</p>	Andrew C
<b>AOB</b>	Bruce Howse raised the issue of cutting of stop banks and requested WDC look at consent requirements for this	
<b>Administration</b>	<p>Phil thanked the Group for their support and advised the group that the code of conduct form will be a required to be signed at every meeting.</p> <p><b>All correspondence regarding the Hikurangi Swamp Scheme it to now be directed to Phil in the first instance not staff.</b></p>	Moved/Second Phil H/Ken F

**Meeting closed at 11.15am**

**Next Meeting: TBA**

# Agenda: Hikurangi Swamp Working Group Meeting Friday 7 December 2012 at 10.00 pm, Kauri Room

**Chair:** Cr. Halse

**Scribe:** Shirley Turner

**Invited Attendees:** Cr. Halse, Simon Weston, Andrew Carvell, Conal Summers, Mark Simpson, Glenys Rule, Mark Shaw, Bruce Howse, Tess Dacre, Luke Beehre, Simon Donnelly, Noel Rockell, Phil Hindrup, Ken Finlayson, Martin Smith, Evan Smeath, Jack Ilich, Pedro McHardy, Te Raa Nehua, Gavin Donelley.

**Purpose:** **To address Hikurangi Swamp Scheme issues**

	<b>Topic/Owner</b>	<b>Information</b>
1	Last Meeting	<i>Minutes</i>
2	Apologies	
3	Pump Updates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Te Mata</i></li><li>- <i>Mountain contract</i></li><li>- <i>Pump failures Okarika &amp; Ngararatunua</i></li></ul>
4	Control banks Update	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Tender Review</i></li></ul>
5	<b>Rates</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Rates review</i></li><li>- <i>Non payment of rates</i></li></ul>
6	Grazing Licences	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Review of charges, options</i></li><li>- <i>Adherence to conditions</i></li></ul>
7	Irrigation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Long Term Options</i></li></ul>
8	Maintenance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Contract update</i></li><li>- <i>Drains Programme</i></li><li>- <i>Any issues</i></li></ul>
9	Resource Consent Issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <i>Fish passage at stations</i></li><li>- <i>Fencing</i></li></ul>
10	AOB	<i>All</i>

**Meeting closed at**