

Supplementary notes for Friday 28th July Hearing

I am really grateful to be able to speak again.

We find ourselves in a most absurd situation, we wonder what GBC Winstones mean by not actively pursuing?

what are they pursuing, - they have 3 different plans going at the same time

There also seems to be a complete confusion created by GBC-W re the MEA buffer area on the Pegram Block. GBC-W at times refer to it as a MEA but the truth is, it is just a 300m 'buffer', outside the MEA3 active area.

GBC-W seek to make overburden placement a Restricted Discretionary Activity in the 'buffer' zone, which is questionable, we ask WDC to make it Non-complying Activity because overburden like blue rock, blue/brown rock and brown rock, is an 'active' quarrying product and thus should remain in the 'active' MEA. Overburden is a product and at today's price list 2.4 million cu. m. is worth \$13 million dollars.

In PC59, Winstones stated in their evidence that they bought the Pegram Block for reverse sensitivity and as a buffer.

We do not understand the compromise? Volumes of overburden from the PC102 to the Resource Consent have increased by 60%, and how can one compromise a buffer? A buffer should remain as it's intended purpose, specifically because the intended real purpose of the buffer is to act as an interface between incompatible land uses; it was legally established and WDC has a duty to keep conflicting land uses apart.

Sensibly, best practise, is to internalise adverse effects and next is a separation and in this case it is the the MEA buffer, which indicates that the Otaika Quarry cannot contain all the adverse effects, thus allowing a new quarrying activity will bring more adverse effects closer and will negate the very reason the buffer was created in the first place.

We have been frustrated by lack of information and also by the confusing, inconsistent evidence and what an expensive and inordinate amount of time has been spent on PC102; it is 'not a cost free' process, ultimately costs are borne by local ratepayers!

We are the worst affected party as far as noise is concerned and since you did not have the gate code, I have made a dvd in order to save some time and to give you an appreciation form the point of view from the worst affected parties and also to show you our concern that the Pegram Block land is

unstable and wet. Our greatest concern is that the mass and weight will affect the surrounding water tables.