

Mail Room

From: Bob [bsq@xtra.co.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 11 December 2014 5:03 p.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: submission on collaborative GMO plan change

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Councillors:

My family, neighbours, friends, and I support the excellent Whangarei District Council collaborative GMO plan changes, but recognise that any EPA approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials must also be prohibited. What Northland and the Whangarei District need is outright prohibition of any and all releases of genetically modified organisms and/or of products derived from them.

Further, my family, neighbours, friends, and I strongly support the original submissions made to the Whangarei District Council by:

Far North Organic Growers, submitor #23 Northland Conservation Board #29 Physicians & Scientists for Global Responsibility (NZ) #118 Organics Aotearoa NZ #244 Soil & Health Association of NZ #153 GE Free Northland #206 GE FREE NZ #148 Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngapuhi #180 Ngatiwai Trust Board #179 National Beekeepers Association (NBA) #255 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ (Northern Branch) #243 Vision Kerikeri #171 Auckland GE Free Coalition #48 Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board Inc. #124 Far North District Council #226

Likewise, my family, neighbours, friends, and I strongly oppose the original submissions made to Whangarei District Council by:

Federated Farmers of NZ #188
Ministry for the Environment #26
The NZ Forest Research Institute Limited (Scion) #217
Forest Owners Association #232
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) #228
Catherine Meger (Pararua Bay) #02

We oppose these six submissions because they fail to recognise the established scientific facts about the risks of genetically modified organisms.

We applaud your collaborative plan changes and urge you to prohibit in the Whangarei District any and all releases of genetically modified organisms or of products derived from them.

Cordially yours,

Col. & Mrs. Bob Jones
P. O. Box 111
Okaihau
Bay of Islands
telephone: 09 408 5074

Mail Room

From: j c [youcangetmeonline@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, 14 December 2014 11:17 a.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: further submission- Plan change 131

WHANGAREI DISTRICT COUNCIL

GMO Plan change #131 att: Melissa Needham

Submitter:
Auckland GE Free Coalition (AGEFC)
(Att : Jon Carapiet, spokesman)
PO box 10149
Dominion Road
Auckland 1446

FURTHER SUBMISSION

The AGEFC supports the excellent Whangarei District Council and the Far North District Council collaborative GMO plan changes, but want any EPA approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials prohibited as well, and prohibition of all GMO releases.

AGEFC strongly support the original submissions made to WDC by:

- GE Free Northland submittor #20614 December 2014
- Northland Conservation Board #29
- Physicians & Scientists for Global Responsibility (NZ) #118
- Soil & Health Association of NZ #153
- Organics Aotearoa NZ #244
- Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngapuhi #180
- Ngatiwai Trust Board #179
- National Beekeepers Association (NBA) #255
- Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ (Inc) (northern branch) #243
- Vision Kerikeri #171
- Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board Inc. #124
- GE FREE NZ #148
- Far North District Council #226

Far North Organic Growers #23

Putiputi Ra Organic Shop (Heather Dyas) #75

(NOTE: there are many others worth supporting! but the above are important ones to support)

AGEFC oppose the original submissions made to WDC by:

-Federated Farmers of NZ #188

-Ministry for the Environment #26

-The NZ Forest Research Institute Limited (Scion) #217

-Forest Owners Association #232

-Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd #228

-Catherine Meger (Pararua Bay) #02

Reasons for opposing submissions that have themselves opposed the GMO plan changes.

In the main, submitters opposing the plan change have cited

1. leaving all oversight to the EPA under the HSNO Act
2. claimed absence of or effective management of, risks to soil and water systems
3. economic and commercial benefits from GMO release that cannot be achieved in other ways

Since the first submissions, there is further information that indicates precaution is needed to avoid emerging problems overseas.

This information is summarised in an Open Letter From America, warning European and other countries to be aware of long term and unintended harm following release of GMOs:

Living With GMOs - Letter From America

<http://www.gmwatch.org/index.php/news/archive/2014/15756-living-with-gmos-le>

<http://www.theletterfromamerica.org/>

The open letter says that the US signatories are

"Sharing our experience and what we have learned...so that you don't make our mistakes... We strongly urge you to resist the approval of genetically modified crops, to refuse to plant those crops that have been approved, to reject the import and/or sale of GM-containing animal feeds and foods intended for human consumption, and to speak out against the corporate influence over politics, regulation and science."

The open letter goes on to say that GE is regarded as failing technology that the UK and the rest of the EU have yet to adopt in the way that the US have. It warns of tremendous pressure from governments, biotech lobbyists, and large corporations to push what it calls this 'failing agricultural technology'.

In spite of a massive public mandate, efforts to get federal and state governments to better regulate and label GMOs are being undermined by large biotech and food corporations, with unlimited budgets and undue influence.

There is a clear issue of financial benefits of GMO risks being received by organisations submitting against the Plan change.

Their approach is effectively transferring risk to the wider community of unwilling people.

Jurisdiction under HSNO and RMA

This submission opposes submissions claiming councils should not be involved in a local tier of oversight of GMOs.

That is because:

- oversight can last longer than that of the EPA which ceases to have role should it approve a full release of a GMO.
- Some GM plants are registered as pesticides (e.g. in the US). They also create emissions that need to be considered under requirements of the RMA.

The jurisdiction issue is discussed in the article by the chair of the ICWP.

November RMJ; RMLA Obiter webpage see here <http://www.rmla.org.nz/obiter>

We request to be heard at any hearings.

We cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Mail Room

From: Claire Bleakley [president@gefrees.org.nz]
Sent: Monday, 15 December 2014 12:53 a.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: Further submissions to Whangarei District Plan
Attachments: Whangarei District Council further submission. .pdf; ATT00001.txt



GE Free New Zealand In Food and Environment Inc.

PO Box 13402, Wellington, NZ Ph +64- 4 - 477 4744

www.gefree.org.nz

15 December 2014

Whangarei District Council (WDC) proposed GMO Plan change #131
Attn: Melissa Needham, Senior Policy Planner,
Whangarei District Council

Dear Ms. Needham,

GE Free NZ in Food and Environment is a voluntary Organisation. We have many members in the Whangarei region. We support our members by writing submissions, providing information to the members and the public concerning Genetic Engineering on a local, national and international level.

We applaud Whangarei Council integrated approach with it's neighbouring councils and at this time believe they have proposed through their plan a win - win solution for protection of tangata whenua, community wellbeing, social cohesion and quality farming.

GE Free NZ in Food and Environment do not believe that any new submission has caused us to change our original submission which we fully support and reiterate below –

We fully support the provisions in a new chapter 4 for Whangarei District Council (WDC) as proposed GMO Plan change #131 namely:

Further, GE Free New Zealand in Food and Environment fully support all submissions made in support of the precautionary approach around the development of Objectives (GMO 2.1), Policies (GMO 2.2) and Rules (GMO1.2) that have been outlined in GMO.1 and the plan change GMO 1.2 point 6 Eligibility Rules where food related or non-food related GMO Releases are prohibited activities.

We specifically support:

GE Free Northland submitter #206

Northland Conservation Board #29

Physicians & Scientists for Global Responsibility (NZ) #118

Soil & Health Association of NZ #153

Organics Aotearoa NZ #244

Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngapuhi #180

Ngatiwai Trust Board #179

National Beekeepers Association (NBA) #255

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ (Inc) (northern branch) #243

Vision Kerikeri #171

Auckland GE Free Coalition #48
Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board Inc. #124
GE FREE NZ #148
Far North District Council #226
Far North Organic Growers #23
Putiputi Ra Organic Shop (Heather Dyas) #75

I strongly oppose the original submissions made to WDC by:

Federated Farmers of NZ #188
Ministry for the Environment #26
The NZ Forest Research Institute Limited (Scion) #217
Forest Owners Association #232
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd #228
Catherine Meger (Pararua Bay) #02

GE Free NZ in Food and Environment oppose these submissions because the issues and amendments raised in relation to the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act (HSNO) and Resource Management Act (RMA) that are put forward by the above parties do not address the lack of land use precautions that the Resource Management Act (RMA) is charged to address; the HSNO Act only deals with the experimental stage of GE trials. As with the Minister of the Environment's submission (#26) she and others have asked for a delay to the plan change 131 whilst changes to the RMA are legislated. However if the plan change 131 is implemented there should be no effect any of the Acts, as the decision to prohibit GE in the Whangarei region will not affect the implementation, decisions, monitoring, inspection or running of HSNO because GE is not being carried out in the Region. We believe the opposition the WDC plan change 131 could only be construed as commercial concerns due to the implementation of GE technology in the environment.

We cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
We would like to be heard on this submission.

Yours sincerely,
Claire Bleakley
President of GE Free NZ on behalf of
Jon Muller
Secretary GE Free NZ

Mail Room

From: j c [youcangetmeonline@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, 14 December 2014 11:22 a.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: Plan Change # 131 , Further Submission att Melissa Needham

WHANGAREI DISTRICT COUNCIL

GMO Plan change #131 att: Melissa Needham

FURTHER SUBMISSION

Jon Carapiet
PO box 10149
Dominion Road
Auckland 1446

I request to be heard at any hearings.

I cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

I support the Whangarei District Council collaborative GMO plan changes, but want any EPA approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials prohibited as well, and prohibition of all GMO releases.

I support the original submissions made to WDC by:

- GE Free Northland submittor #20614 December 2014
- Northland Conservation Board #29
- Physicians & Scientists for Global Responsibility (NZ) #118
- Soil & Health Association of NZ #153
- Organics Aotearoa NZ #244
- Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngapuhi #180
- Ngatiwai Trust Board #179
- National Beekeepers Association (NBA) #255
- Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ (Inc) (northern branch) #243
- Vision Kerikeri #171
- Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board Inc. #124
- GE FREE NZ #148
- Far North District Council #226
- Far North Organic Growers #23

Putiputi Ra Organic Shop (Heather Dyas) #75

(NOTE: there are many others worth supporting! but the above are important ones to support)

I oppose the original submissions made to WDC by:

- Federated Farmers of NZ #188
- Ministry for the Environment #26
- The NZ Forest Research Institute Limited (Scion) #217
- Forest Owners Association #232
- Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd #228
- Catherine Meger (Pararua Bay) #02

Reasons for opposing submissions that have themselves opposed the GMO plan changes.

Since the first submissions, there is further information that indicates precaution is needed to avoid emerging problems overseas.

This information is summarised in an Open Letter From America, warning European and other countries to be aware of long term and unintended harm following release of GMOs:

Living With GMOs - Letter From America

<http://www.gmwatch.org/index.php/news/archive/2014/15756-living-with-gmos-le>

<http://www.theletterfromamerica.org/>

The open letter says that the US signatories are

"Sharing our experience and what we have learned...so that you don't make our mistakes... We strongly urge you to resist the approval of genetically modified crops, to refuse to plant those crops that have been approved, to reject the import and/or sale of GM-containing animal feeds and foods intended for human consumption, and to speak out against the corporate influence over politics, regulation and science."

The open letter goes on to say that GE is regarded as failing technology that the UK and the rest of the EU have yet to adopt in the way that the US have. It warns of tremendous pressure from governments, biotech lobbyists, and large corporations to push what it calls this 'failing agricultural technology'.

In spite of a massive public mandate, efforts to get federal and state governments to better regulate and label GMOs are being undermined by large biotech and food corporations, with unlimited budgets and undue influence.

There is a clear issue of financial benefits of GMO risks being received by organisations submitting against the Plan change.

They're approach is effectively transferring risk to the wider community of unwilling people.

Jurisdiction under HSNO and RMA

This submission opposes submissions claiming councils should not be involved in a local tier of oversight of GMOs.

That is because:

- oversight can last longer than that of the EPA which ceases to have role should it approve a full release of a GMO.
- Some GM plants are registered as pesticides (e.g. in the US). They are also create emissions that need to be considered under requirements of the RMA.

The jurisdiction issue is discussed in the article by the chair of the ICWP.

November RMJ; RMLA Obiter webpage see here <http://www.rmla.org.nz/obiter>

Mail Room

From: pradford@ihug.co.nz
Sent: Monday, 15 December 2014 8:23 a.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: Further submission to Whangarei District Council

GMO Plan change #131 att: Melissa Needham

WHANGAREI DISTRICT COUNCIL

Private Bag 9023

Whangarei 0148

Date: 15/12/14

Piet Radford pradford@ihug.co.nz 09 8148709 785 West Coast Rd Waitakare.

I support the excellent Whangarei District Council and the Far North District Council collaborative GMO plan changes, but want any EPA approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials prohibited as well, and prohibition of all GMO releases.

I strongly support the original submissions made to WDC supporting the plan change, including those by:

- GE Free Northland submittor #206
- Northland Conservation Board #29
- Physicians & Scientists for Global Responsibility (NZ) #118
- Soil & Health Association of NZ #153
- Organics Aotearoa NZ #244
- Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngapuhi #180
- Ngatiwai Trust Board #179
- National Beekeepers Association (NBA) #255
- Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ (Inc) (northern branch) #243
- Vision Kerikeri #171
- Auckland GE Free Coalition #48

-Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board Inc. #124

-GE FREE NZ #148

-Far North District Council #226

Far North Organic Growers #23

Putiputi Ra Organic Shop (Heather Dyas) #75

I strongly oppose the original submissions made to WDC by:

-Federated Farmers of NZ #188

-Ministry for the Environment #26

-The NZ Forest Research Institute Limited (Scion) #217

-Forest Owners Association #232

-Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd #228

-Catherine Meger (Pararua Bay) #02

I do not wish to be heard

I cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Piet Radford

Mail Room

From: Jacqui Tyrrell [dandelionleaf@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, 13 December 2014 3:08 p.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: Further submission on proposed GMO plan change 131

Further submission on proposed GMO plan change 131

12 December 2014

Jacqui Tyrrell
271 Ararimu Valley Road
RD 2 Waimauku 0882

Ph 09 411 5498

jacqui_tyrrell@gmail.com

I support the Whangarei District Council and the Far North District Council collaborative GMO plan changes.

I also want any EPA-approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials prohibited, and in addition, the prohibition of all GMO releases.

I strongly support the original submissions made to WDC by:

Submitter no. 206 - GE Free Northland
29 - Northland Conservation Board
48 - Auckland GE Free Coalition
148 - GE FREE NZ
153 - Soil & Health Association of NZ
118 - Physicians & Scientists for Global Responsibility (NZ)
124 - Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board Inc.
179 - Ngatiwai Trust Board
180 - Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngapuhi
243 - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ (Inc)
244 - Organics Aotearoa NZ
255 - National Beekeepers Association (NBA)
(Northern Branch)
171 - Vision Kerikeri
226 - Far North District Council
23 - Far North Organic Growers

I support these submissions because they comprehensively cover the potential for long-term (in fact permanent) damage to the environment, from changes in soil biota to changes in human biota. They also make clear the threat to New Zealand's clean green image (and the potential threats to certified organic farming).

I strongly oppose the original submissions made to WDC by:

188 - Federated Farmers of NZ
26 - Ministry for the Environment
217 - The NZ Forest Research Institute Limited (Scion)
232 - Forest Owners Association

I oppose these submissions because they all represent short-term thinking. New Zealand is in a wonderful position (physically and otherwise) to be a producer of top-quality non-GE food and other products that can be exported at premium prices. The full effects of allowing GE trees, GE pasture plants and GE crops into the wider environment are not understood. A recent example was the strange deaths of dairy cows in Southland after feeding on swedes that were not even strictly genetically modified.

I do not wish to be heard.

I cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

ENDS

Mail Room

From: Gigi Gilchrist [gigi@wnation.net.nz]
Sent: Sunday, 14 December 2014 10:20 p.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: GMO Plan change

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

December 14th 2014
Gigi Gilchrist

gigi@wnation.net.nz

Dear Whangarei Council,

I am writing to support the excellent Far North District Council and the Whangarei District Council collaborative GMO plan changes,

but I want any EPA approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials prohibited as well, and prohibition of all GMO releases.

I am writing to express support for the excellent Whangarei District Council and the Far North District Council collaborative GMO plan changes,

but want any EPA approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials prohibited as well, and prohibition of all GMO releases.

I strongly support the original submissions made to WDC by:

-GE Free Northland submittor #206

-Northland Conservation Board #29

-Physicians & Scientists for Global Responsibility (NZ) #118

-Soil & Health Association of NZ #153

-Organics Aotearoa NZ #244

-Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngapuhi #180

Ngatiwai Trust Board #179

-National Beekeepers Association (NBA) #255

-Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ (Inc) (northern branch) #243

-Vision Kerikeri #171

-Auckland GE Free Coalition #48

-Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board Inc. #124

-GE FREE NZ #148

-Far North District Council #226

Far North Organic Growers #23

Putiputi Ra Organic Shop (Heather Dyas) #75

(NOTE: there are many others worth supporting! but the above are important ones to support)

I strongly oppose the original submissions made to WDC by:

-Federated Farmers of NZ #188

-Ministry for the Environment #26

-The NZ Forest Research Institute Limited (Scion) #217

-Forest Owners Association #232

-Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd #228

-Catherine Meger (Pararua Bay) #02

I oppose these submissions because they do not demonstrate adequate safety control measures.

I do not wish to be heard thank you and I cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Kind regards,

Gigi Gilchrist

Mail Room

From: UBuckingham@hnrq.com
Sent: Monday, 15 December 2014 12:15 p.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: GMO Submission
Attachments: GMO Appendix One - Further submissions HFM.pdf; GMO Form 6 Further submissions HFM.pdf

Hello,
Please find attached HFM NZ Ltd's further submission on Plan Change 131 GMO.

Ursula Buckingham
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd.
Environmental Planner
09 470 13 25
0274 998 416
ubuckingham@hnrq.com
4 Fraser Street, PO Box 1860, Whangarei

PLEASE NOTE: I'm in the office on Mondays and Tuesdays, if you need to contact me outside those days please do so on mobile.

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

The information contained in this email message and any attachments may be confidential and legally privileged intended for the use of the addressee(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient, please: (1) notify me immediately by replying to this message; (2) do not use, disseminate, distribute or reproduce any part of the message or any attachment; and (3) destroy all copies of this message and any attachments.

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
PC131-217	Delwyn Wharehoka The NZ Forest Research Institute Ltd (Scion)	delwyn.wharehoka@scionresearch.com	A decision to not proceed with the plan change and to eliminate all provisions relating to GMOs.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-188	Richard Gardner Federated Farmers NZ	rgardner@fedfarm.org.nz	Withdraw the proposed plan change and/or reject section Definitions, regarding the management of GMOs.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-188	Richard Gardner Federated Farmers NZ	rgardner@fedfarm.org.nz	Withdraw the proposed plan change and/or reject section GMO 1.1, regarding the management of GMOs.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-26	Amy Adams Minister for the Environment	Nataha.tod@mfe.govt.nz	Plan change 131 not adopted. The MPI is the expert enforcement agency for GMO activity under HSNQ.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
PC131-226	Colin Dale Far North District Council	Tammy.wooster@fndc.govt.nz	Plan change retained as written	Oppose	Disallow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is inappropriate, unnecessary and inconsistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA. The proposed plan change does not bring consistency to the region with KDC removing themselves from the plan change. Auckland Council are yet to go through proceedings to determine outcome of rules within the PAUP.
PC131-188	Richard Gardner Federated Farmers NZ	rgardner@fedfarm.org.nz	Disregard the entire s32 evaluation associated with the proposal and carry out a further evaluation on the entire proposal, as provided for in s32AA of the RMA.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-232	Glen Mackie Forest Owners Association	glen.mackie@nzfoa.org.nz	Not proceed with the plan change and to eliminate all provisions relating to GMOs	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-02	Catherine Meger	45 Kiteone Road	WDC withdraw the proposed plan change.	Support	Allow the whole	The relief sought is

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
		Parua Bay WHANGAREI	Alternatively the plan change be put on hold until the results of the national elections and the final decision on the Regional Policy Statement for Northland are known		of the submission point.	appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-26	Amy Adams Minister for the Environment	Nataha.tod@mfe.govt.nz	Plan change 131 not adopted. Jurisdiction. Monitoring. Public Participation. Technical Expertise. Precautionary Principle. Liability. Financial Accountability. Enforcement. Section 32 of RMA.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-118	Jean Anderson Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility NZ Charitable Trust	jean.anderson@clear.net.nz	Entire Plan Change. Should approval be made by the EPA and release be forced to proceed PSGR supports: Making outdoor experiments or field trials approved by the EPA a discretionary activity subject to stringent local additional conditions. Applicants paying a substantial bond and being held accountable for any necessary remediation and other costs. Establishing stringent on-going monitoring of releases by independent scientists.	Oppose in part	Disallow part of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA by ensuring GMO release is approved or disapproved by the EPA.
PC131-153	Robert Makgill Soil and Health Assoc of NZ	robert@robertmakgill.com	The existing provisions of PC131 as notified are retained. The NZ Forest Research Institute Ltd v Bay of Plenty Regional Council decision makes provision for GMOs as an emerging issue under the Bay of Plenty RPS. SHANZ considers that the decision	Oppose	Disallow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is inappropriate, unnecessary and inconsistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA. The EPA is the appropriate agency

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
			<p>indicates that there is jurisdiction to make provision for objectives, policies, rules and other planning tools in relation to GMOs. The HSNO Act does not provide a planning framework through which GMOs can be geographically / spatially managed in an integrated manner. Consideration of the location and distribution of proposals involving GMOs on a district basis, together with protection of rural resources for organic or biodynamic farming, are important resource management matters for consideration by territorial authorities in carrying out their functions under the RMA. The release and/or use of GMOs in the environment has a potential for significant adverse effects on the environment. GMOs have the potential to adversely affect ecological, economic, and resource management values, and the well-being of people and communities sensitive to GMO activities.</p> <p>Application of integrated management and a precautionary approach to GMOs under the RMA is the best available technique for managing the potential adverse effects posed by GMOs to other land uses within the district. It is consistent with the sustainable management purpose and Part II of the RMA to establish district plan provisions (e.g. Issues, objectives, policies, rules and methods) that manage the release, location and management of GMOs where they</p>			to approve or disapprove GMO.
PC131-217	Delwyn Wharehoka The NZ Forest Research Institute	delwyn.wharehoka@scionresearch.com	<p>Field trials.</p> <p>A decision to not proceed with the plan change and to eliminate all provisions relating to GMOs.</p>	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
	Ltd (Scion)					of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-26	Amy Adams Minister for the Environment	Nataha.tod@mfe.govt.nz	Financial Accountability Plan change 131 not adopted	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-188	Richard Gardner Federated Farmers NZ	rgardner@fedfarm.org.nz	GMO .1.2 and 1.3 Eligibility Rules. Withdraw the proposed plan change and/or reject section GMO 1.2, regarding the management of GMOs. Objection to provisions relating to GMOs being included in local authority planning instruments as above. No place for management of GMOs in the proposed plan change.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-188	Richard Gardner Federated Farmers NZ	rgardner@fedfarm.org.nz	GMO .2 Land Use Controls Withdraw the proposed plan change and/or reject section GMO 1.2, regarding the management of GMOs. Objection to provisions relating to GMOs being included in local authority planning instruments as above. No place for management of GMOs in the proposed plan change.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-206	Anna Murphy	murphymiller@slingshor	GMO.2.2	Oppose	Disallow the	The relief sought is

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
	GE Free Northland (In food and environment)	t.co.nz	Add the following policies: To ensure that the location of a proposed GMO activity does not have potential to adversely affect existing conventional, IPM, organic, biodynamic farming activities (including Māori Organic certification – Hua Parakore) or potential organic farming activities (including Hua Parakore certification) including market recognition and public perception of an area as GMO free. To ensure that potential adverse effects on areas of significant ecological value and/or sensitivity are avoided.		whole of the submission point.	inappropriate, unnecessary and inconsistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA. The issues stated in the relief would be assessed by the EPA based on risk.
PC131-284	Arla Kerr and Colleen Prendergast	arlakerr@gmail.com	GMO 2.2 Policies Amend GMO 2.2 as written. In the event that field trials remain as discretionary activities, qualifying phrases "as far as can reasonably be achieved" and "to the extent possible" create uncertainty and gives unnecessarily broad discretion to the Council in determining applications for resource consent. These should be amended to provide clear direction. GMO 2.2(6) should be amended to ensure consistency with GMO 1.1. If periodic reviews are to be only ad-hoc this should be clarified.	Oppose in Part	Disallow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is inappropriate, unnecessary and inconsistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA. The EPA is the appropriate agency to approve or disapprove GMO and therefore determine appropriate thresholds and reviews.
PC131-188	Richard Gardner Federated Farmers of New Zealand	rgardner@fedfarm.org.nz	Jurisdiction The proposed plan change be withdrawn, and/or that the individual proposals in it regarding the management of GMOs all be rejected. Central government has sole responsibility for the	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
PC131-26	Amy Adams Minister for the Environment	Nataha.tod@mfe.govt. nz	<p>management and control of GMOs in NZ and therefore there is no role for local government in their management. Most appropriate way to manage GMOs is through central government.</p> <p>Jurisdiction Plan change 131 not adopted Not appropriate for Councils to use the RMA for the purpose of controlling GMOs. These decisions best made at a national level by the EPA using the HSNO Act. The EPA is an expert in environmental risk assessment. The HSNO Act gives the EPA the mandate to impose a broad range of conditions to ensure any adverse effects on the environment (includes economic, social, aesthetic and cultural factors) is prevented or managed.</p>	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	<p>supported for the reasons set out in the submission.</p> <p>The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.</p>
PC131-217	Delwyn Wharehoka The NZ Forest Research Institute Ltd (Scion)	delwyn.wharehoka@scionresearch.com	<p>Liability A decision to not proceed with the plan change and to eliminate all provisions relating to GMOs. The HSNO Act imposes greater liability than the RMA for environmental or resource harm. Common law sufficient to deal with individual to individual disputes. It has been shown internationally that GMOs and non-GMO plants and organic farming can co-exist without council or government regulation. Council taking on huge liability to ratepayers.</p>	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	<p>The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.</p>
PC131-26	Amy Adams Minister for the Environment	Nataha.tod@mfe.govt. nz	<p>Monitoring Plan Change 131 not adopted. The EPA may impose controls on an approval to require monitoring, auditing, reporting and record keeping. The costs of this fall on the applicant.</p>	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	<p>The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the</p>

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
PC131-26	Amy Adams Minister for the Environment	Nataha.tod@mfe.govt. nz	Precautionary Principle Plan change 131 not adopted The precautionary principle is already an integral part of the EPA's decision making process. Section 7 of the HSNO Act explicitly states that decision makers shall take into account the need for caution in managing adverse effects where there is scientific and technical uncertainty about those effects.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	reasons set out in the submission. The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC131-26	Amy Adams Minister for the Environment	Nataha.tod@mfe.govt. nz	Public Participation Plan change 131 not adopted HSNO Act has clear processes for public, including LA, input into decision making. This allows community concerns to be fully considered by the EPA.	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.
PC132171-	Delwyn Wharehoka The NZ Forest Research Institute Ltd (Scion)	delwyn.wharehoka@scionresearch.com	Section 32 Evaluation A decision to not proceed with the plan change and to eliminate all provisions relating to GMOs. Underplaying of the benefits of GMOs and overplaying of harm and risk in the s32 evaluation. Contrary to scientific evidence. Procedural issues in the form of bias and predetermination in the s32 report. Proper s32 evaluation was not carried out for the plan change. Benefits or potential of GMOs include: key tool to counter climate change and environmental degradation; products already in the market or close to release mean food can be grown with reduced application of toxic	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
PC131-48	Jon Carapiet Auckland GE Free Coalition	youcangetmeonline@yahoo.com	<p>agrichemicals, crops can be grown where they previously couldn't, vitamin enhanced food products to save millions in the third world from blindness, pharmaceuticals that save the lives of diabetics, washing powder, clothing (cotton), trees with improved growth rates. Potential NZ benefits for NZ for GMO trees; trees amenable to digestion into bio fuels; reduced invasive species; plant derived plastics, resins, extractives; increased productivity; pest and disease resistance; saving species threatened with extinction.</p> <p>Status EPA approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials and all GMO releases prohibited Protect the region's and NZs long-term and intergenerational wellbeing; capacity to benefit from branding as a non-GMO, bio-integrity and bio security and unique biodiversity. Moderation of commercial risk. Concerned that opponents of the plan change are seeking to benefit by maintaining a transfer of risk to the wider community whilst ignoring the widespread concerns in the community at home and overseas. GMOs of concern in the wider community. Concerns with the commercial advantage some organisations have with GMOs. Not always acting for the greater good. Preserving the highest level of food purity, safety and quality, and preventing chemical and genetic contamination and unethical production. The long term management of soil and water, wellbeing, and the marketing and export</p>	Oppose	Disallow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is inappropriate, unnecessary and inconsistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA. The issues stated in the relief would be assessed by the EPA based on risk.

Sub No.	Submitter name	Address for Service	Submitters Relief	Support/ Oppose	Relief Sought:	Reasons:
PC131-26	Amy Adams Minister for the Environment	mailto:Nataha.tod@mf e.govt.nz	<p>opportunity for quality products must be protected from emissions from GMO trials or an EPA endorsed commercial release.</p> <p>Technical Expertise Plan change 131 not adopted The EPA has the necessary legal, risk assessment, policy and scientific expertise required to consider GMO applications.</p>	Support	Allow the whole of the submission point.	The relief sought is appropriate, necessary and consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA and is also supported for the reasons set out in the submission.

**FURTHER SUBMISSIONS BY HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT
(NZ) LIMITED IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO,
SUBMISSIONS ON
GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS (GMO)**

TO: WHANGAREI DISTRICT COUNCIL

These further submissions are made by HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LIMITED in support of, or in opposition to, submissions on the proposed plan change genetically Modified Organisms (GMO).

HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LIMITED was a submitter on the GMO and, as the manager of the largest single forest investment in Northland, has an interest in the GMO that is greater than the interest the general public has.

For further background information refers to the HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LIMITED's submission on the GMO.

HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LIMITED's further submissions in support of, or in opposition to various original submissions, the relevant provisions of the GMO, the particular parts of the submissions it supports or opposes, its reasons and relief are set out in Appendix One to this form.

HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LIMITED wishes to be heard in support of its further submissions.

If others make similar submissions or further submissions HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LIMITED will consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.

Dated this 15th day of December 2014



Ursula Buckingham
Environment Planner
Hancock Forest Management

Contact details:
Address for service of submitter:

P O Box 1860
Whangarei

Telephone: 09 4701325
Fax: 09 4701317
Email: ubuckingham@hnr.com

Mail Room

From: Ed O'Donnell [ejodonnell3@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, 15 December 2014 12:00 p.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: Support of GMO plan changes

December 15, 2014

Edward J O'Donnell III
101 Stoney Knowe
RD4 Hikurangi 0184

I support the excellent Whangarei District Council and the Far North District Council collaborative GMO plan changes, but want any EPA approved outdoor GE experiments or field trials prohibited as well, and prohibition of all GMO releases.

I strongly support the original submissions made to WDC by:

GE Free Northland submittor #206

Northland Conservation Board #29

Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility (NZ) #118

Soil and Health Association of NZ #153

Organics Aotearoa NZ #244

Te Rununga A Iwi O Ngapuhi #180

Ngatiwai Trust Board # 179

National Beekeepers Association (NBA) # 255

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ (Inc) (northland branch) #243

Vision Kerikeri #171

Auckland GE Free Coalition #48

Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board Inc. #124

GE Free NZ #148

Far North District Council # 226

Far North Organic Growers #23

Putiputi Ra Organic Shop (Heather Dyas) #75

I strongly oppose the original submissions made to WDC by:

Federated Farmers of NZ #188

Ministry for the Environment #26

The NZ Forest Research Institute Limited (Scion) #217

Forest Owners Association #232

Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd. #228

Catherine Merger (Pararua Bay) #02

I oppose these submissions because I wish to be heard.

--

Health and Well-being

X-PC131-20

Mail Room

From: Sean Stanley [sean@maplekiwi.com]
Sent: Monday, 15 December 2014 12:36 p.m.
To: Mail Room
Subject: Plan Change 131

15 December 2014

Sean Stanley
123 Worsnop Road
Ruatangata R.D.6
Whangarei 0176

sean@maplekiwi.com

I strongly support the original submissions made to WDC by:

Tony Achtzehner PC131-34
Maureen Anne Adair PC131-21
Neil Adams PC131-207
Gail and John Aiken PC131-67
Shushila Ajani PC131-264
Rojer and Bev Alchin PC131- 260
Elizabeth Amber PC131-191
Ruth Jaqueline Ancliffe PC131-92
Moea Armstrong PC131-185
Auckland GE Free Coalition PC131-48
Margaret Aylward PC131-68
Dean Baigen-Mercer PC131-197
Alan Bainbridge PC131-137
Elaine Bainbridge PC131-36
Jessie Balding PC131-267
Brian Ballantyne PC131-42
Maggie Barrett PC131-156
Tere Batham PC131-190
Jennifer Beachen PC131-37
James Bellamy PC131-69
Chris Bone and Julia Alabaster PC131-70
Anna Bonnevie PC131-44
Anne-Marie Booth PC131-41
Christopher Edwin Booth PC131-40
Rixt Botello PC131-261
Hamish Rodney Bowling PC131-43
Peter Brake PC131-196
Inge Bremer PC131-63
Maria Bright PC131-71
Jean Brine PC131-211
Andreya Brown PC131-131
Gary Butt and Mary Watkins PC131-271
Jon Carapiet PC131-72
Susan Caruso PC131-272
Denise Cawthorne PC131-157

Maggie Churcher PC131-205
Ross Gordon Clark PC131-28
John Clarke PC131-10
Barbara Clegg PC131-184
Ulf Colbatz PC131-125
John Correa PC131-115
Jane Cunningham PC131-22
Scott Dalziell PC131-62
Peter and Evelyn Dane PC131-47
Catherine de Potter PC131-147
Bryan Divers PC131-175
Paul Doherty PC131-246
Jessica Donovan PC131-199
Rosanna Donovan PC131-09
Michael Dougherty PC131-192
Marissa Dowling PC131-87
Charles Drace PC131-73
Michael Drayton PC131-224
Sabine Druueckler-Hiepe PC131-74
Heather Dyas PC131-75
Sue Dyson PC131-193
Laksar Eggleton PC131-76
Lisa Elizabeth Anne Er PC131-59
Sharni Erceg PC131-140
Michael Eyer PC131-50
Ursula Eyer PC131-51
Far North Organic Growers & Producers Society Inc PC131-23
Carol Forsythe PC131-130
Sharon Freer PC131-213
AG French and SG Topfer PC131-236
Becky Friend PC131-209
Mark Fuller 174
Roger Gale PC131-134
Anna Murphy of GE Free Northland PC131- 206
Jon Muller of GE Free Northland PC131-148
Andre Gilhespyl PC131-240
Karen Gilhespyl PC131-239
Steve Goldthorpe PC131-64
Ali Goodall PC131-17
Terry Goodall PC131-16
Beverley Frances Gott PC131-151
Zelka Linda Grammer-Valings & Family PC131-189
Marie Greeks PC131-237
Linda Grierson PC131-273
Dorle Hacker PC131-61
Juergen Hacker PC131-60
Rachel Hardcastle PC131-200
Malcom Harker PC131-256
Hans and Lillian Harleth PC131- 256
Angela Hatchard PC131-225
Dr Guy David Hatchard PC131-221
Narayani Hatchard PC131-231
Deck Hazen PC131-150
Tia Healey PC131-77

Neil Henderson PC131-177
Hans and Lillian Herleth PC131-274
Margaret Barbara Hicks PC131-252
Wolfgang Hiepe PC131-78
Kristina Hjelm PC131- 165
Ina Hofer PC131-230
Toni Holland PC131-169
Sandra Honekemp PC131-79
Arana Horney PC131-212
Imago Inocente PC131-202
Frida Inte PC131-05
Colleen Jellick PC131-129
Kowi Jellick PC131-128
Keith Jiampa PC131-146
Marie Jones PC131-262
Ted Jones PC131-263
Vanessa Keegan PC131-172
Marty Robinson of Kerikeri Organics PC131-170
Joy Christine Kerr PC131-03
Kirsten Khaine PC131-275
Jenny Kirk and Owen Saunders PC131-30
Paula Kirkwood PC131-265
Jacqui Knight PC131-164
Andre Kunz PC131-149
Joachim Kupiec PC131-143
Annette Cecelia Laird PC131-55
Catherine Mary Land PC131-88
Pania Marilyn Lawsen PC131-90
Raymond Walter Lawton PC131-167
Bill Leonard V158
Helmut and Angelika Letz PC131-80
John Logan PC131-122
Klaus Lotz PC131-173
David Lourie PC131-81
Hilary Gail Lovelace PC131-49
Luana Pirini and Lucina Cummings PC131-139
Tim Lynch PC131-82
David MacClement PC131-276
Josephine MacGillivray PC131-254
Christine Machanek PC131-27
Rachel Major PC131-98
Avil Manley PC131-57
David Manning PC131-277
Carl Mather PC131-04
Maungakamea Landcare Group PC131-259
Jan Mary May PC131-251
Margie McCallum PC131-123
Carol McConnell PC131-278
Michael G D Cree PC131-132
Alexei McDermott PC131-19
Mary McDonald PC131-07
Mike McGlynn PC131-163
Mary SEJ McKinlay PC131-161
Richard Miller PC131-126

Gill Minogue PC131-133
Trish Monohan PC131-12
Cliffor Morgan-Royal PC131-186
Kate Moss PC131-83
Derek Mouldey PC131-204
Rolf Moueller-Glodde PC131-65
David Mules PC131-33
Russel Mulligan PC131-84
Sarah Munro PC131-155
Brian Murphy PC131-85
Anne Murphy and Deighton Miller PC131-222
Elizabeth Rose Murray PC131-89
Jef Murupaenga Ikenn PC131-45
Bernie and Kiri Neumann PC131-258
Nga Kaitiaka O Nga Wai Maori PC131-195
Peta Offa PC131-194
Arafelle One PC131-56
Organics Aotearoa NZ PC131-244
Beryl Panther PC131-141
Elfriede Patek PC131-99
Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board PC131-124
Gail Percy PC131-187
Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility NZ Charitable Trust PC131-118
Benjamin Pittman PC131-100
Judy Plain PC131-154
Roy and Iris Powell PC131-208
Trish Puharic PC131-101
Carolyn Radford PC131-102
Piet Radford PC131-39
Michael Ramsbottom PC131-35
Catherine Rankin and Roderick Bowey PC131-103
Tony Rickard PC131-104
Alastair Robinson PC131-233
Fiona Robinson PC131-54
Guy Robinson PC131- 227
Lauren Lagorio Robinson PC131-198
Anna Christiane Rosevear PC131-214
Crisy Ross PC131-159
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ Inc PC131-243
Millan Ruka PC131-105
Liz Russell PC131-183
Allan W Ryan PC131-145
Jeff Saunders PC131-279
Dean Scanlen PC131-127
Felix Schaad PC131-106
Rita Schaad PC131-107
Henk Schimmel PC131-18
Ted Scott PC131-253
Katherine Smith PC131-234
Suzanne Stewart PC131-144
Julia Struyck PC131-108
Richard Swemmer PC131-109
John Dallas Keith Tavernor PC131-250
Susan Lorraine Tavernor PC131-249

Phyllis Tichinin PC131-281
Akke Tiemersam PC131-166
Ben Tombs PC131-120
Felice Tombs PC131-216
Arpad Toth PC131-96
Susan Toth PC131-91
Michael Trott PC131-110
Katrina Upperton PC131-111
Sam Vallings PC131-242
Peter van Andel PC131-152
Anouk van Donzel PC131-112
Ans van Sabben de Wolf PC131-66
Michele Vanderkhove PC131-138
Gijs and Trish Veling PC131-203
Vision Kerikeri PC131-171
Tibor Vogel PC131-24
Gregory Hugh Wade-Farrel PC131-238
Yannick Wakelam PC131-25
Peter Walker PC131-113
Bill Watson PC131-121
Kath Watzig PC131-117
Donna Wellington PC131-282
Charlotte Wilson PC131-201
Peter Wilson PC131-218
Colleen T Wiltshire PC131-136
Wiga Wislocka-Autet PC131-210
Asta Wistrand PC131-20
Liz Woodward PC131-38
Elizabeth Ann Wright PC131-283
Richard T Wright PC131-181
Velary N Wright PC131-182
Graeme Wylie and Lorene Royal PC131-119
Marianna Young PC131-223
I seek that the whole of these submissions be allowed.

I support the original submissions made to the WDC by:

Carine Allen PC131-248
Paul Allen PC131-247
Albert Barr PC131-220
Allan R Bell PC131-268
Sheila Berry PC131-58
Robin Booth PC131-269
Larisa Bovhan PC131-270
Anton Bowker PC131-135
Melanie Jane Closs PC131-229
Richard Michael Collins PC131-97
Linda Conning PC131-168
Fiona Davidson PC131-215
Laura Ewing PC131-116
Colin Dale (Far North District Council) PC131-226
Aiyana Ferens PC131-94
Sue Ferens PC131-95
Roberta Jones PC131-01

Arnold James Kalnins PC131-257
Abraham and Nancy Land PC131-86
Martin Lieding PC131-176
Chris and Anne Leitch PC131-235
Robin Loeffering PC131-11
Helen Marsh PC131-32
National Beekeepers Association NZ PC131-255
Ngatiwai Trust Board PC131-179
Northland Conservation Board PC131-29
Fenella Probert PC131-266
Pamela and John Raggett PC131-46
John Sanderson PC131-08
Ueli Sasagi PC131-162
M J Shaw PC131-241
Faye Slater PC131-31
Anthony James Morris Small PC131-114
David Norman Smith PC131-280
Frances Elizabeth Smith PC131-142
Andrew Smythe PC131-93
Soil and Health Association of NZ PC131-153
Phil Spear PC131-53
Dagmar Sporleder PC131-178
Rowan Stanley PC131-15
Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngapuhi PC131-180
Neil Thomas PC131-13
Rebecca Thompson PC131-219
Pedro and Martina Tschirky PC131-52
Deb Williams PC131-245
Mary-Frances Wilson PC131-06

I seek that the part of these submissions requesting that the plan change be retained. However, I also seek that all GMO releases, including EPA approved outdoor GE experiments and/or field trials be prohibited.

I oppose the original submissions made to WDC by:
Richard Gardner of the Federated Farmers of NZ PC131-188
Glen Mackie of the Forest Owners Association PC131-232
Ursula Buckingham of Hancock Forest Management LTD PC131-228
Catherine Meger PC131-02
Amy Adams, Minister for the Environment PC131-26
The NZ Forest Research Institute Ltd (SCION) PC131-217

I seek that the whole of these submissions be disallowed.

I cannot gain a trade advantage through this submission.

--

Sean Stanley