

Appendix G – Summary of Feedback from Pre-notification Consultation

ID#	Submitter	Tree #	Issue	Comments	Arborist Review Required	Specific Changes Requested	General comment	Other
1	Vuletich G	330	Unsafe	Tree has rotten branches that are falling off. Branches are about to touch the power pole.	yes - health and safety			
2	Rudolph P		Processes	Feels that more care needs to be taken to ensure the location of heritage trees and that professional arborist reports should have more weighting than the views of the owner of the property on which a heritage tree is located.				
3	Piolettim J & E	343	General comment	General comment in support of the protection of trees and a pragmatic approach to removing heritage trees if they become unsafe.				
4	Hershberger L	429	General comment	General comment in support of the protection of trees.				
5	Noy P	289	General comment	General comment in support of the protection of trees.				
6	Pullman M	290	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Thinks that the tree should be removed as it is a hazard to the surrounding houses and especially dangerous during high winds.	yes - health and safety			
7	Badham G and Adam M	225	Tree is unsafe	Some big branches have fallen off the tree, presenting a hazard. There is also concern that the tree is not in good health.	yes - health and safety			
8	Molony P & B	290	Doesn't meet criteria	Tree creates considerable debris during strong winds. Submitter does not believe pohutukawa should be protected in a confined garden.	yes - health and safety			
9	McNab M	515	Unsafe	Tree could easily fall onto house and is a fire risk. Roots may present risk to drains, sewerage and driveways.	yes - health and safety			
10	Campbell R & B	515	Unsafe	Trees have grown too large for the house and section, concern for underground services, regarding root structure. Submitter concerned that should the tree fall down it will damage property.	yes - health and safety			
11	Hindle R	9 Headland Farm Park Rd	New tree	grove of pohutukawa trees on fringes of Headland Farm Park, just below section 47, between this section and the beach.	yes - assess new tree for inclusion			
12	Froggatt		General comment	General comment in support of the protection of trees.				
13	Bax J	209	General comment	General comment against the protection of notable trees.				
14	Mackinnon V	355	General comment	General comment in support of the protection of trees.				
15	Wheeler L	436	Unsafe	Concern for stability of trees during high wind	yes - health and safety			
16	Long E	435	General comment	General comment in support of the protection of trees.				
17	Taylor R & L	301	Tree requires trimming	Limbs of tree overhang footpath and dropping debris. The debris is causing downpipes to become blocked, creating overflows and is dangerous to footpath users.	requires trimming - health and safety			

18	Trimmer A	290	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Tree has grown to over 17m tall and is unsuitable for the built up urban setting. During wind there are concerns for safety as the top branches 'sway alarmingly'.	yes - health and safety			
19	Francis FE	342	Irrelevant	Comment unrelated to PC129				Not relevant
20	Hammer W & F	436	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Risk of trees falling or losing limbs during high winds, damaging nearby homes. Trees are becoming too tall and are unmaintained.	yes - health and safety			
21	Jaffurs W	435	General comment	General comment in support of protecting trees. Questions the necessity for qualified arborists to undertake all tree works for protected trees - minor works (e.g. cutting of branches less than 25mm diameter) could be undertaken by landowner as engaging an arborist can be costly.		Yes		
22	Landowners Coalition Inc		Specific comment on policy	Requests for specific changes to wording of policy. Issue taken with the process and methodology of STEM scoring.		Yes		
23	Newman F	271	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Tree poses a hazard to the public and vehicle traffic. WDC removed identical trees from Mander Park. The species is not scarce or under threat.	yes - health and safety			
24	Jowitt D		General comment	General comment in support of protecting trees.				
25	Reader D & Perkin M	501	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Tree poses a hazard as it is extremely close to the main road. Submitter believes that this tree does not belong in such a built up, urban setting.	yes - health and safety			
26	Polkinghorne V & Burns K	224	Locational problem	Submitter is unsure which tree is subject to protection	requires clarification of location			
28	Macgregor V & B	245	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Tree roots have damaged driveways and there is concern that they will interfere with underground services	yes - health and safety			
29	Robbins B & G	519	Unsafe	Concern for stability of trees during high winds. Tree has roots that are lifting the road up, creating a hazard.	yes - health and safety			
30	Thurgood J	290	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Tree is over 17m tall and considered to be a risk to surrounding properties, it's size is also not appropriate to the urban setting. Additionally, tree debris blocks spouting creating maintenance issues.	yes - tree too large for setting			
31	Hoogeveen J		New tree	Pohutukawa at 27 Kauika Road should be added to list of notable trees	yes - identify new tree			
32	McKenna H	309	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Size of trees is inappropriate for the built up urban setting. Trees pose a risk of falling during high winds and the roots are a potential threat to underground services.	yes - health and safety			
33	Vesly R & D	506	General comment	General comment in support of trees.				
34	Morgan D	401	Unsafe	Tree is close to the house and over 20m high. It is dangerous during high winds and close to powerlines.	yes - health and safety			

35	Ward M	401	Unsafe	Tree is over 20m tall and close to submitter's house. Concern for safety as large branches have fallen off the tree as a result of rot.	yes - health and safety			
36	O'Connor S	253	Wants to remove tree	Doesn't want tree on property				
37	Churches J	418	Support of tree protection	Supports tree protection				
38	Bisset C	229	General comment	General comment in support of tree protection				
39	O'Connell J	294	Unsafe/requires trimming	During high winds large branches have broken off the tree. The tree needs trimming as several branches do not seem healthy and possibly have borer.	yes/requires trimming - health and safety			
41	Augustine G	342	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Branches are falling off the tree creating a hazard. Concern for stability of tree during high winds.	yes - health and safety			
42	Rye H	433	Locational problem	Tree number 433 listed as on 74 Mains Avenue however submitter believes that the actual location of said tree is 76 Mains Avenue. Submitter does not want this tree to be listed as its size is inappropriate to the urban setting there is significant risk of branches falling off during strong winds.	yes - locational issue and health and safety			
43	Abbeyfield Properties Ltd	289	Locational problem	Needs clarification as to which trees are included in the list and subject to protection measures.	requires clarification of location			
44	Tomason H	Hatea Drive	Doesn't meet criteria/new tree	The falling fruit of the protected Supote tree is a hazard to both people and property. Submitter notes two pohutukawa nearby on the roadside which are more significant and could be protected.	yes - health and safety and potentially other trees to be added to list			
45	Williamson R	342	Doesn't meet criteria	Oak tree at 2 Kirkiri Stream Lane has no notable value.	yes - assess value			
46	Robertson M	206	Support of tree protection	Supports tree protection				
47	Duplicate							
48	Walker P	519	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	More needs to be done to maintain and prune this tree. The pohutukawa should be checked for myrtle rust. There is also concern that the roots are too close to underground services.	yes - health and safety			
49	Singh G	290	Doesn't meet criteria	Tree is big and old and creates a lot of debris from its falling leaves which blocks drains.	Yes - health and safety			
50	McRae N	236	Support of tree protection	Supports tree protection				
51	Chapman J	271	Opposes plan change	Opposes plan change				
52	Jelavich R	205	General comment	Believes that no trees on residential properties should have heritage status as it impinges on ability of landowners to develop their land.				
53	Ogle S	229	Tree requires trimming	Neighbour's notable tree dangerously overhangs submitter's driveway.	requires trimming - health and safety			
54	Tyler A & J	358	Support of tree protection	Supports tree protection				
55	Parker K & L	280	General comment	Protection of trees is important but a pragmatic approach is required when they threaten health and safety. The financial cost of maintaining heritage trees should not be a great burden on the landowner.				

56	Johnson J	433	General comment	Appreciates aesthetic value of tree however thinks that more maintenance is required - especially more frequent road sweeping.				
57	Calabano V & D	334	Unsafe	Tree is causing damage to submitters roof due to high volumes of debris and falling branches. Concern that more serious damage could occur during high winds.	Yes - health and safety			
58	Erceg L & D	201	Unsafe	Concern that if a branch or bough breaks off it will damage people or property. The trees are extremely large and 'out of control'.	yes - health and safety			
59	Laird L		New tree	Large pohutukawa on the boundary of submitter's property on the Kirikiri Stream.	yes - identify new tree			
60	McKenzie I & Richards G	431	General comment	Supports tree protection				
61	Thomson M	228	Doesn't meet criteria	The large size of the two rimus is inappropriate for the context and they are too close to the submitter's house. The roots are also damaging the driveway.	yes - health and safety			
62	Jones B	342	Unsafe	There is concern that branches from the tree will fall and damage people and property. There is a rotten branch that is ready to fall. Submitter would like the tree to be assessed and trimmed back. Heritage trees on private property should be allowed to be maintained regularly by the owner to a reasonable specified degree, or the council should take full responsibility for tree maintenance so it is not a financial burden to the landowner	yes & requires trimming			
63	Lee D & B	416	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	Concern that the root system is interfering with underground services. Roots have already caused damage at 33 Mains Avenue . Concerns regarding the debris generated by the trees.	yes - health and safety			
64	Stallworthy L		Locational problem	Two puriri are listed as on 415 Maunu Road however these do not exist, instead one tree is on 411 Maunu Road and one on Selwyn Village at the corner of three properties. Would like to see more active recruitment of suitable trees on to the register. Would like owners of properties adjacent to notable trees to be made more aware of their responsibility.	requires clarification of location			
65	Hill D	290	General comment	Acknowledges the importance of trees however doesn't believe the costs of maintaining notable trees should be borne by the landowner.				
66	Stratford J	416	Unsafe	Debris from the tree blocks drains. The root system is damaging to driveways and has the potential to disrupt underground services.	yes - health and safety			

67	Transpower NZ Ltd		Specific comment on policy	A number of specific comments on the content of the plan change. Transpower is generally supportive and satisfied with the provisions. However as set out in this submission, several amendments are sought to ensure that the NPSET is given effect to, appropriate recognition is given to the provisions of the NESETA, and as a result of this appropriate provision is made for the ongoing operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid.		Yes		
68	Whangarei Intermediate School	513	Doesn't meet criteria	Tree is located on land that is subject to a designation. Tree is incorrectly identified as a Dawn Redwood when it is actually a Swamp Cypress. Submitter sees the tree's inclusion on the list to be because of it's initial incorrect identification as Dawn Redwoods, a species of Scientific Significance. Falling branches from the tree poses a hazard to children at the school. Additionally the tree is located near to a Critical Underground Electrical Line and there is concern that the root system may damage this.	yes - identification and health and safety issues			
69	Luke P	416	Unsafe	The tree is an inconvenience and the root system has caused damage to the driveway which is hazardous to the users.	yes - health and safety			
70	Northpower			A number of specific comments on the content of the plan change. Notes importance of provisions of CEL.1 of the DP to ensure tree works are undertaken in a safe manner. Considered 100mm severance is limiting to their ability to safely undertake tree works that are to close to powerlines. It will be difficult at times to retain the natural shape, form and branch habitat of the tree when undertaking works. Suggestion of provision to accommodate severance and final tree work form.		Yes		
71	Pike G		General comment	Supports provisions to protect trees.				
72	Housing New Zealand Corporation	233, 258, 363		Trees 233 and 363 erroneously removed due to being unstable and rotting - requests these trees be removed from the list. HNZC satisfied with the policies, objectives and rules relating to Notable Trees as outlined in Draft Plan Change 129.				Trees to be removed from list.
73	Williams M	416	Unsafe	Roots of tree have damaged the driveway causing a hazard to the users. Concern that branches and debris may fall and damage people or property during strong winds. Cost of maintenance of notable trees should not be borne by landowner.	yes - health and safety			

74	Percy E	393	Doesn't meet criteria/unsafe	The roots of the tree have potential to damage the road, footpath and private driveways. There is also potential that they will interfere with powerlines. Tree is a pohutukawa which is a coastal tree and submitter believes it to be inappropriate for it to be a notable tree in its urban context. The total care, pruning, removing of debris and removal of trees should be handled by council at no cost to the landowner. Further, the 100mm at severance and no more than 10% of the crown of the tree to be removed rules should be reviewed as they are too restrictive.	yes			
75	Harris M & M		General comment	No trees should be placed on the schedule without approval of immediate neighbours due to the effects on shading and amenity etc that a large tree would cause. Council should undertake pest control measures for possums/rats/etc that live within these protected trees. No exotic species or trees under 20 years old should be included on the schedule.				
76	Bruce R		Unsafe	Palm on 31 Princes St is unstable and dangerous	Yes - health and safety			
77	Turner L & C	201 and 202	Doesn't meet criteria	Tree has grown too large and creates too much shading.	Yes			
78	Houlbrooke W	435		Submitter wants this tree which is on their property removed as they were not aware of its protected status when the property was purchased and its presence prevents the planned development of the property.	Yes			
79	Northland District Masonic Lodge	508	Unsafe	The tree is of concern for health and safety issues. Tree 508 as in Appendix 2 has significant ongoing adverse effects on the economic and social well-being of residents of the Lupton Masonic Village and nearby residents. WDC has failed to give effect to Part II of the RMA when considering rules around heritage trees. The STEM system has been applied unreasonably in Whangarei in comparison to other cities in NZ. The STEM system has allocated too many points to tree 508. It is inappropriate that an exotic tree (which is recognised as an alien invader elsewhere) be a notable/heritage tree.				